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I. What are the major trends affecting cities and metropolitan areas?

II. What are the forces driving these trends?

III. What policy solutions are available to affect positive change?
What are the major trends affecting metropolitan areas?

1. Cities are growing, but metros are still sprawling
2. Cities and suburbs are becoming more diverse
3. The economy continues to restructure
4. The geography of work is changing
5. The geography of poverty is changing
Large cities grew faster in the 1990s than they did in the 1980s and 1970s.

50 largest cities, population 1970-2000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Source: Brookings calculations of U.S. Census Bureau data
Several large cities gained population during the 1990s after losing population in the 1980s.

Selected cities, population growth 1990-2000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
Still, population is decentralizing in nearly every U.S. metropolitan area

Selected cities and suburbs, population growth 1990-2000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
This trend was apparent in most New England metros

Selected cities and suburbs, population growth 1990-2000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Central City</th>
<th>MSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Providence</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Haven</td>
<td>-5.2%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartford</td>
<td>-13.0%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Suburbs grew faster than cities in the 1990s

Percent population growth, 100 largest cities and suburbs 1990-2000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
Every household type grew at faster rates in the suburbs than in cities.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Type</th>
<th>Central City</th>
<th>Suburbs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Households</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married - no children</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married - with children</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Family - no children</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Family - with</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonfamily</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Central City Growth in the 1990s was fueled by Asians and Hispanics

Population growth, 100 largest cities 1990-2000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
If not for immigration, several of the nation’s largest cities would not have grown during the 1990s.

Population growth with and without foreign-born, 1990-2000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
In aggregate, the racial makeup of the 100 largest cities has shifted....

Share of population by race and ethnicity, 1990

- White: 53%
- Black: 24%
- Hispanic: 17%
- Other: 6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
In 2000, the top hundred cities became majority minority

Share of population by race and ethnicity, 2000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
The percent of each racial/ethnic group living in the suburbs increased substantially.

Share of population by race and ethnicity, 1990

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

- Blacks: 33% (1990) to 39% (2000)
- Asians: 51% (1990) to 55% (2000)
- Hispanics: 46% (1990) to 50% (2000)
In addition, every minority group grew at faster rates in the suburbs than in central cities.

Population growth by race and ethnicity, 1990-2000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Central Cities</th>
<th>Suburbs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>36.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>-9.3%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
<td>71.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>63.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Now more than 1 in 4 suburban households are minority

Minority share of population, 1990-2000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
In many metro areas, the focus of immigration is shifting from the central city to the suburbs.

Washington region, share foreign-born by census tract, 2000

Source: Singer, “At Home in the Nation’s Capital,” June 2003

Percent Foreign Born (by Census Tract)

- Less than 5%
- 5% - 15%
- 16% - 25%
- 26% - 35%
- Greater than 35%
Despite growing suburban diversity, racial separation persists in metros like Chicago.

New Diversity

Percent Black or African-American, 2000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

- < 5%
- 5.01 - 10%
- 10.01 - 20%
- 20.01 - 30%
- > 30%
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The nation’s economy has shifted away from manufacturing and toward the service sector.


Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis
The result is a markedly different industrial composition


Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis
The shift in the economy corresponds with an increased premium on educated workers—which correlates with higher earnings.

Work-Life Earnings Estimates (millions), 1997-1999

Educational attainment varies widely across cities

Share of adults w/ bachelor’s degree, 1990-2000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
And there are significant disparities between race/ethnic groups

Share of adults with bachelor’s degree, 100 Largest Cities 1990-2000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
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Nationally, one-third of jobs are located outside a 10-mile radius of the central business district.

Share of jobs within 3-, 10-, and greater-than-10-mile radius of center, 1996.
In many metros, an exit ramp economy dominates office development.

Share of metropolitan office space (SQ FT), 1999

- New York: 30% In Central Business District, 57% In Edgeless Locations
- Washington: 29% In Central Business District, 32% In Edgeless Locations
- Dallas: 21% In Central Business District, 35% In Edgeless Locations
- Atlanta: 24% In Central Business District, 41% In Edgeless Locations
- Miami: 13% In Central Business District, 66% In Edgeless Locations
But the level of employment decentralization varies widely across metropolitan areas.

Share of metropolitan employment, 1999
A large share of employment in New England metros is located further than ten miles from the central business district.
In the Philadelphia region, job sprawl has been radical.

Private Sector Jobs, 2000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau Zip Code Business Patterns

- = 50 jobs
Consequently, the highest share of metropolitan commutes begin and end within suburbs.

Share of commuters
100 Largest Cities, 2000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
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Poverty rates in central cities have declined over the 1990s, while poverty rates in the suburbs have increased slightly.

Poverty rates for central cities and suburbs, 1990-2001

Overall, the number of people living in high poverty neighborhoods has declined during the 1990s.

Population of high-poverty neighborhoods by location, 1990-2000

During the 1990s, number of high-poverty tracts in Chicago dropped from 187 to 114, and there were 179,000 fewer people living in high poverty areas.
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Broad demographic and economic forces affect the performance of cities

- Cities in **fast growing** regions tended to grow in population during the 1990s
- Cities with a lower than average share of **manufacturing** jobs in 1990 tended to have higher population growth rates during the 1990s
- Cities with high shares of **foreign-born** population in 1990 tended to have higher population growth rates during the 1990s
On average cities whose metros grew less than 11% gained little or lost population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City Category</th>
<th>Number of Cities</th>
<th>City Population Change</th>
<th>MSA Population Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Growth (over 20%)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant Growth (10 to 20%)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Growth (2 to 10%)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Growth (-2 to 2%)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss (below -2%)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major federal and state policies, however, also affect the performance of cities. A recent Brookings report on Pennsylvania found 5 specific types of state policies that favor greenfield development and undermine city economies.
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Skewed Investments

Unlevel Tax System

Weak Planning

Barriers to Reinvestment

Fragmented Governance
Major state spending programs have skewed funding to greenfields
In Pennsylvania newer suburbs received 58 percent of classifiable spending during this period, although they represent only 42 percent of the state’s population.
At the same time, Pennsylvania is spreading its economic development money “all across the map”

PIDA, OFP, and IDP investments, 1998-2003

Source: Keystone Research Center

DCED Programs
- PIDA Recipients
- OGP Recipients
- IDP Recipients

Municipal Type
- City
- Borough
- 1st-class township
- 2nd-class township
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State tax systems are biased against cities

City revenue bases are small (e.g., large numbers of tax exempt properties)

City expenses are high (e.g., concentrated poverty, union contracts)
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- Skewed Investments
- Unlevel Tax System
- Weak Planning
- Barriers to Reinvestment
- Fragmented Governance
In most states, cities lacks effective regional- or state-level planning, strategizing, and coordination capacity

- Disparate state agencies do not plan in accordance with a coherent, unified vision
- Disparate state agencies plan separately and often act at cross-purposes
- As a consequence, there is a lost opportunity to use policies to generate markets and create wealth
A lack of consistency requirements ensures land use planning remains essentially optional and frequently uncoordinated

- In many states local zoning ordinances do not conform to local or regional plans
- Required county plans remain advisory
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Barriers to reinvestment

- Barriers to brownfield development hinder their productive reuse
- Information gaps, limited marketability, and ineffective acquisition processes keep many vacant and abandoned industrial properties idle
- Barriers to the rehabilitation of older buildings perpetuate their deterioration
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- Skewed Investments
- Unlevel Tax System
- Weak Planning
- Barriers to Reinvestment
- Fragmented Governance
Many rustbelt states have large numbers of local governments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>General Governments*</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>2,824</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>2,734</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>2,633</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>2,338</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>2,030</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>1,922</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>1,858</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>1,745</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>1,666</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>1,602</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2002 Census of Governments

*Includes county governments
The profusion of local governments undermines city and state competitiveness in several ways

- CMU’s Jerry Paytas concludes that fragmented regions saw their share of the total income generated in 285 metro areas slip between 1972 and 1997.

- Paul Lewis concludes fragmentation results in decreased shares of office space in central business districts, less “centrality,” longer commute times, more “edge cities,” and more sprawl.
American Metropolis: Divided We Sprawl

I. What are the major trends affecting cities and metropolitan areas?

II. Are these trends inevitable?

III. What policy solutions are available to affect positive change?
III
What policy solutions are available to affect positive change?

The Smart Growth Agenda

1. Regional Governance
2. Land Use Reform
3. Infrastructure
4. Taxation
5. Access To Opportunity
Smart Growth Reforms: State Examples
Regional Governance

Georgia Regional Transportation Authority (1999)

- Combats air pollution, traffic congestion and sprawl development
- Mandates approval for major highway and development projects that affect the metro Atlanta region
- Requires local governments to cooperate with GRTA or face loss of state and federal funds for road-building
Land Use Reform: Preservation


- Voters authorized $200 million in general obligation bonds for the conservation and preservation of natural areas, open space, and farmlands

- $200 million in revenue bonds to remediate urban brownfields and promote economic development
Land Use Reform: Growth Management


- Clarifies authority of counties and municipalities to create Locally Designated Growth Areas
- Encourages transfer of development rights from open space to planned growth areas
- Facilitates regional planning
- Gives local governments greater ability to withstand legal challenges while planning growth
Infrastructure

*Maryland Smart Growth and Neighborhood Conservation Act of 1997*

- Targets major state funding (e.g. transportation, housing, state facilities) to Priority Funding Areas
- Priority Funding Areas include municipalities, inner beltway areas, enterprise zones, industrial areas and new planned growth areas
**Minnesota Fiscal Disparities Law**

- Allocates 40% of the growth in property tax revenues from commercial industrial development to a metropolitan tax base pool.

- Funds in the pool are redistributed to communities based on their commercial tax capacity.

- While the law has narrowed fiscal disparities, growing suburbs continue to have 25 to 30 percent more tax base per household than central cities and inner suburbs.
Approximately $450 million per year is awarded in federal and state tax credits to assist in the construction and rehabilitation of affordable rental housing.

Priority is given to properties located within close proximity of transit corridors, parks, recreational facilities, retailers, grocery stores, schools and senior centers.
Smart Growth Reforms: Local and Regional Examples
Regional Governance

Minneapolis - St. Paul Metropolitan Council

• Provides planning expertise and funding to support good land use and transportation decisions

• Serves 117 communities and nearly 5,000 households administering Section 8 and other affordable housing programs

• Operates a regional transit system that provides nearly 230,000 rides daily

• Oversees treatment of 300 million gallons of wastewater daily
Land Use Reform: Preservation

Transfer of Development Rights
Montgomery County, MD

Allows owners to transfer the right to develop their property to higher density “receiving areas” in other parts of the County, this program, perhaps the best in the nation, has preserved roughly 47,000 acres of farmland since its creation in 1980.
Land Use Reform: Urban Neighborhoods

Philadelphia Neighborhood Transformation Initiative

- A $1.6 billion dollar 5 year program to remove blight from Philadelphia neighborhoods.
- Reform of the city's delivery systems.
- Build 16,000 new houses and demolish 14,000 buildings.
- Rehabilitate 2,500 properties.
- Creation of a Philadelphia Land Bank.
- Clearing of 31,000 vacant lots in the first year.
- Facilitation of neighborhood planning in a citywide context.
Infrastructure

Transit Oriented Development
Arlington County, VA

Sector plans around each metro station establish land use and development guidelines to ensure a mix of commercial residential and office uses.

One third of all Metro transit riders get on or get off in Arlington County.
Access to Opportunity

Inclusionary Zoning
Montgomery County, MD

Moderately- Priced Dwelling Unit Ordinance

Requires new developments of >50 units to set aside 12.5% - 15% of the units for low and moderate income households.

- Return is a 22% density bonus
- Almost 11,000 units since 1973
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The Smart Growth Agenda

1. Regional Governance
2. Land Use Reform
3. Infrastructure
4. Taxation
5. Access To Opportunity
Broadening Tax Credits to Aid Working Families
This analysis of federal tax credit programs argues that a credit to enhance income security for both low-income and middle-income families could broaden the political constituency for investments in working families while providing needed support.

read the report

REPORTS
Upstate New York’s Population Plateau: The Third-Slowest Growing State
The first of a series of reports analyzing population and migration data for the Upstate New York region probes the implications of the area’s stagnant growth.

Economic and Racial Segregation in Greater Miami’s Elementary Schools: Trends Shaping Metropolitan Growth
An analysis of Miami-area schools shows that growth on the suburban fringe is increasing economic and racial segregation across the entire region.

The Long Journey to Work: A Federal Transportation Policy for Working Families
In this brief, Evelyn Blumenberg and Margy Waller argue that the strong link between car ownership and employment...