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Enhancing Excellence
Washington University Law Plans for the Future
Only a few law schools in the United States have advanced as far, or as fast, as Washington University School of Law. Thanks to extraordinary effort over the last quarter century by talented faculty, staff, students, alumni, and friends—and with remarkable support from Washington University—the law school has, by any measure, dramatically increased its impact, reputation, and effectiveness.
Washington University Law has moved from a place among the best regional law schools to a place as a credible competitor with strong national law schools. In short, our law school has achieved excellence.

**AS PART OF A UNIVERSITYWIDE EFFORT,** the law school currently is involved in strategic planning, with the goal of having a strategic plan for the next decade in place by 2008. Our challenge, and the subject of our strategic plan, is how to enhance that excellence in an environment where we now aspire to compete with the best law schools in the United States. That challenge entails tough choices.

One choice, and the most important, concerns our values. Our most important value should be to make the School the best place in the United States—and among the very best in the world—to learn to be a lawyer. Great lawyers are produced in an environment where students have rigorous, regular, and varied interactions with dynamic, interesting, diverse, demanding, civil, and professional faculty, students, attorneys, and the best scholars from other disciplines that bear upon the law. Our next most important value should be to make the School have a transforming impact on both our students and the world through outstanding research and service.
A second choice concerns the reality of our limited resources. Our School has risen to the top echelon of American law schools by investing in many directions at once. Like most law schools nationally, we have experienced growth in class sizes and increases in tuition, which have helped to fund our expanded programs. To maintain the quality of our JD program, however, it is unlikely that such increases will continue. In the future, we will need to fund progress primarily by other means.

A combination of funding sources and strategies will be necessary, including careful husbanding of our existing resources; investment by Washington University; and fundraising through annual giving, major gifts, grants, and bequests. At the same time, our plan should target investment in key initiatives that build on our strengths and opportunities, that take advantage of logical synergies of our University and local community, and that promise to make the law school a leader in excellence among graduate and professional programs at our University.

**WE CAN DO THIS.** Our School is in good shape to face and to make tough choices. Our initial efforts in formulating a strategic plan, led by our National Council and staffed by Associate Dean Tomea Mayer Mersmann, have centered upon five key areas: Career Opportunities for our students; Faculty Recruitment and Scholarship; International Programs; Interdisciplinary Programs (with other schools and departments at Washington University); and Student Recruitment. These areas were selected after many discussions with individual faculty, students, alumni, and University leaders. While they touch upon every aspect of the law school, they do not comprehensively address all planning issues in the law school. To complete a comprehensive plan, we also are working hard to develop unit-specific plans for every part of the law school.

Given that we are planning for the next decade, many constituents emphasized that our results should:

- **EMPHASIZE PEOPLE AND PROGRAMS**, rather than buildings;
- **ENHANCE ACCESS TO LEGAL EDUCATION** at Washington University for a wide array of students, including students of extraordinary merit, students from all socioeconomic backgrounds, and students from groups that are underrepresented in the legal profession;
- **ENABLE STUDENTS TO PURSUE THE BEST OPPORTUNITIES** in a wide array of careers, including in the private sector and public service, while also modeling for all students a commitment to public service that should be part of every legal career; and
- **EMBRACE BEST PRACTICES AND HIGH STANDARDS** in legal education, including areas such as (a) the pedagogy of experiential learning; (b) new methodologies of scholarship and new approaches to dissemination of knowledge among scholars, lawyers, and policymakers; and (c) the globalization of law and legal institutions.

These themes significantly informed the recommendations of our five planning groups, composed of alumni, administrators, faculty, and students.

**Career Opportunities**

**FOR OUR STUDENTS,** the best place to learn to be a lawyer is the place that enables them to secure an excellent job at graduation and a rewarding career across a lifetime. Career opportunities

---

**FACULTY RECRUITMENT AND SCHOLARSHIP**

Endowed chairs are an important way to recognize outstanding faculty scholarship and service. Stephen Legomsky (second from left) was recently installed as the John S. Lehmann University Professor.
at and after graduation must, over the next 10 years, come to match those of students at the best law schools. This is the greatest strategic challenge of the School, and the area in which we have the greatest opportunities for meaningful strides. It is also an area in which all members of the law school community will be asked to take an active role.

Faculty Recruitment and Scholarship

OUR FACULTY IS TRULY OUTSTANDING, with stars in many different legal fields who have made a commitment to teaching, scholarship, and service. Top law schools like ours have, and require, an increasingly productive faculty that publishes and manifests a national and international profile. Accommodating research leaves, teaching loads, and research assistance is needed to support this transformative work. At the same time, Washington University has one of the strongest teaching faculties, and our students desire extensive, rigorous, daily interaction with our faculty members. We will be considering ways to accommodate both of these values.

One way to afford Washington University students the same faculty interaction that has long been our pride, while also attracting and retaining top faculty in competition with our new peers, is to expand our faculty relative to our student body size. Every new faculty hire will need to be strongly committed to student learning, as well as to research. Our new faculty hires also need to fill gaps in our scholarly community and to assure that our students will be exposed to the best teachers and scholars in the most crucial fields and methodologies. Our strategic plan will be looking to define these areas.

International Programs

THE LAW SCHOOL HAS AN EXTRAORDINARY ARRAY of international assets and programs, including talented faculty, an increasingly global alumni base, almost 100 students from abroad, LLM programs, an international journal, and the Whitney R. Harris Institute for Global Legal Studies. These assets serve two distinct communities in the School: the international and comparative specialist (and the student who seeks to specialize), and generalists in law or specialists in other fields of law whose work is improved by familiarity with international and comparative law. To enhance the excellence of our international programs requires

Our most important value should be to make the School the best place in the United States—and among the very best in the world—to learn to be a lawyer.

INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS
Left: The Summer Institute for Global Justice in the Netherlands is an important part of the School’s international programs.

CAREER SERVICES
Below: Offering students a full array of employment opportunities comparable with those at the best law schools is a major focus of the Career Services Office. Elaine Bourne (second from right) is the new associate dean for career services.
barriers to learning across schools and programs and should engage the law school with logical partners in other schools.

**Student Recruitment**

WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY ALUMNI have long been wonderful lawyers and human beings. The School has long admitted and graduated smart, civil, ethical, and interesting people who care about each other, their clients, and the community. Our admissions office and personnel are widely regarded by peers as among the best in legal education. Our students today are the most academically qualified and academically competitive in our history, with median undergraduate grade-point averages and standardized test scores at or above the 94th percentile of law school applicants nationally. We receive about 16 applications for each position in our entering JD class, admit about one-quarter of our applicants, and enroll about one-quarter of those we admit. Our LLM applications have more than doubled in recent years; our transfer applications almost doubled last year alone.

As a result, we currently have about 900 students pursuing a variety of degrees. While we have students from around the country and the world, our student body is less diverse racially than most top law schools. We will be drawing upon the talents of our entire community as we consider ways to enhance our student body.

**Other Challenges**

IN ADDITION TO SPELLING OUT specific recommendations and key steps to improving the law school, our strategic plan also will require addressing four other challenges:

- **INCREASING OPPORTUNITIES** for fostering professionalism and public service;
- **ENHANCING THE LAW SCHOOL COMMUNITY** through continued technological improvements, more active and intellectually engaged student organizations, and improvements to our physical space;
- **IMPROVING OUR CURRICULUM** including enhancing clinical education to maintain high quality; and
- **COMMUNICATING EXCELLENCE** through better marketing and communication efforts.

Some of these efforts are already under way. In fall 2006, we broke ground for a new building that we will share with attention to both communities. The School also needs to focus on areas of traditional strength and strategic opportunities given Washington University’s rapid development of ties with great Asian universities and our growing ties at Utrecht University in the Netherlands.

**Interdisciplinary Programs**

WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY has become one of the nation’s great universities, with strong programs in an array of schools and disciplines. Nevertheless, relatively few of our students are exposed to courses or faculty from other parts of the University. There are many more opportunities for interdisciplinary research and training, on the exciting models of our Center for Empirical Research in the Law, Center for Interdisciplinary Studies, Intellectual Property & Technology Law Program, and Interdisciplinary Environmental Clinic. Our plan should break down barriers to learning across schools and programs and should engage the law school with logical partners in other schools.

To seize the opportunity—to become the best place to study law—will require even tougher steps and even more investment than in the past.
Arts & Sciences, particularly with the Departments of Economics, Education, and Political Science. This new, four-story facility, located just southwest of Anheuser-Busch Hall, is an exciting step in facilitating interdisciplinary collaborations in both research and teaching. We also are planning renovations to Anheuser-Busch Hall, as well as landscaping improvements between the new facility and Anheuser-Busch Hall.

In the 2006–07 academic year, we hired four outstanding new faculty members whose areas of expertise span corporate law, negotiation, criminal law and procedure, and Supreme Court decisionmaking. Samuel Buell, Rebecca Hollander-Blumoff, and Emily Hughes have joined our faculty as associate professors; Andrew Martin, a Washington University political science professor, has assumed a full-time, tenured position at the law school and is heading up our new Center for Empirical Research in the Law. We look forward to continuing affiliations with Cheryl Block, who served as a visitor at our School from George Washington University, and we soon will be announcing additional faculty hires for the 2007–08 academic year. To assist us with two key areas of focus, we have hired Elaine Bourne as our associate dean for career services and Michael Peil as our new assistant dean for international programs.

Progress also is being made in interdisciplinary endeavors. Washington University’s graduate and professional schools recently reached a “free trade” agreement, allowing graduate students to take courses in other schools without having to transfer tuition dollars. Additionally, the schools have made efforts to align calendars to facilitate enrollment among schools. The next step will be to identify and promote those courses that it makes sense for our students to take.

In communications, we have redesigned our web site, published a new admissions recruitment brochure, launched an alumni newsletter, and redesigned this magazine. In the coming years, we will be taking a strategic look at all of our various publications and marketing strategies.

The next year will be critical to defining all of those concrete steps that will enable us to enhance excellence at our School. The law school is well positioned to make a leap similar to that of Washington University’s School of Medicine in the past century and its undergraduate programs over the past two decades. The hard work and huge investment by our faculty, staff, alumni, and the University have brought us to this position. To seize the opportunity—to become the best place to study law—will require even tougher steps and even more investment than in the past. If all of us share a commitment to work hard, to forthrightly discuss and confront hard choices, and to invest our resources and intelligence and values in the enterprise, this strategy will succeed.

New Faculty

Samuel W. Buell  Associate Professor

Samuel Buell, a two-time recipient of the Attorney General’s Award for Exceptional Service, is teaching Securities Regulation and Criminal Law. He previously was a federal prosecutor in Boston, New York, Houston, and Washington, D.C., and served on the United States Department of Justice’s Enron Task Force. He was the lead prosecutor in cases involving Enron, Arthur Andersen, and the James “Whitey” Bulger organized crime group. Additionally, Buell was a visiting assistant professor and fellow in the Emerging Scholars Program at the University of Texas School of Law.

Buell’s teaching and research focus on criminal law and the regulatory state, particularly regulation of firms and financial markets. He has published in the areas of fraud, organizational criminal liability, and the law of criminal procedure as it applies to firms. He received his bachelor’s degree from Brown University and his JD from New York University. Following law school, Buell clerked for Judge Jack B. Weinstein of the United States District Court, Eastern District of New York, and was an associate with Covington & Burling in Washington, D.C.

Rebecca Hollander-Blumoff  Associate Professor

Rebecca Hollander-Blumoff, formerly a research fellow at the Institute of Judicial Administration at New York University, is teaching Negotiation, Civil Procedure, and Law & Psychology. A PhD candidate in social psychology at NYU, she focuses her teaching and research on the relationship between human behavior and dispute resolution systems, particularly in the context of legal negotiation and civil procedure. She is currently conducting an empirical investigation into the effects of fairness of process, or procedural justice, on acceptance of outcomes of legal negotiation. Hollander-Blumoff previously taught in the Lawyering Program at NYU School of Law and at Seton Hall University School of Law.

She received her bachelor’s and JD degrees from Harvard University. After clerking for Judge Kimba M. Wood of the United States District Court in the Southern District of New York, Hollander-Blumoff practiced law as an associate at Lankler Siffert & Wohl LLP in New York, where she worked on both criminal and civil litigation.

Emily Hughes  Associate Professor

Emily Hughes, formerly associate director of the Center for Justice in Capital Cases at DePaul University, is teaching the Criminal Justice Clinic, Criminal Law, and Criminal Justice Administration.

Hughes previously taught in the Death Penalty Clinic at DePaul University College of Law, was a Sacks fellow at the Criminal Justice Institute at Harvard Law School, and taught at the University of Iowa College of Law.
Hughes was an assistant public defender for the state of Iowa and clerked for Judge Michael Melloy (formerly the chief district court judge for the Northern District of Iowa and now a judge on the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals). Her current research includes an analysis of constitutional and procedural protections safeguarding pro se criminal defendants. She received her bachelor’s and JD degrees from the University of Michigan; she also received a master’s degree from Yale University.

Andrew D. Martin
Professor of Law and Professor of Political Science

ANDREW MARTIN, who has held a courtesy appointment at the School of Law since 2004, has assumed a full-time, tenured position at the law school. He is directing the School’s new Center for Empirical Research in the Law and teaches a course in Social Scientific Research for Lawyers. Martin, who specializes in political methodology, has written widely on American political institutions, including the Supreme Court of the United States and the courts of appeals. He has received grants from the National Science Foundation for his work on the Supreme Court, and his research has appeared in numerous legal, social science, and applied statistics journals.

Martin joined Washington University’s political science faculty in 2000. He previously was an assistant professor at the State University of New York (SUNY) at Stony Brook. He received his bachelor’s degree from the College of William and Mary and his PhD in political science from Washington University.

Top law schools like ours have, and require, an increasingly productive faculty that publishes and manifests a national and international profile.
HOLDING MY FIRST MEMO, she started right in on teaching: “If you have something to say, just say it. Don’t weasel around down in the brush.” There would be many other straightforward lessons from a year working for Sandra Day O’Connor, but the most important were about decisiveness, theory, inclusivity, and religion.

MAKING DECISIONS In my first month on the job, the Supreme Court wrestled with a difficult capital case. Justice O’Connor and my co-clerk worked late into the night on an emergency petition, and by a close vote the petition was denied. There was an execution after midnight. The next morning, Justice O’Connor was in the office early and was cheerful. She told me of her “fabulous” plans for an event later that day. (“Fabulous” is Justice O’Connor’s most-often-used word.) Her cheerfulness that day seemed callous, and I confronted her about it. Even from a distance I had been torn up about both the substance and procedure of the decision, so how could she get over it so quickly? She wasn’t “over it,” she told me. She had been torn up too, but she had done the best job she could. The time to worry about a decision, she said, is before it is made. You work, read, and listen as hard as you can, and then when you have to decide—and no earlier—you choose. If you do that, your judgment is a good one, even if your decision later turns out to be mistaken, because you made sure it was the best you could do under the circumstances. Moreover, if you agonize over past decisions, you neglect the present ones, and judges always have many other people—and life-and-death decisions—waiting. Justice O’Connor taught that lawyers ought to go at a job full tilt, do the best job possible, and then move on.

THEORY Justice O’Connor’s decisiveness rarely embraces deciding more than she has to. Over the years, she has infuriated many law professors by the narrowness of some of her opinions and by her resistance to any new theory until its implications were tested out through the facts of a series of cases. She is a common law judge in an increasingly polarized and ideological age. In chambers, Justice O’Connor always wanted to know the facts of each case in excruciating detail. She wanted to know...
who the litigants were and why they behaved the way they did. She wanted to read every word of the trial judge’s opinion because that judge saw the witnesses and watched the jury. She wanted to know why the grand issue raised by the parties needed to be decided by the Court if the facts called for some simpler and narrower solution. Clerks had to scramble to master the record, and often an overlooked fact or argument became vital to the Justice’s views.

It took me years to fully appreciate how important this was to our country. The Supreme Court can be a great pulpit for a Justice, a place for moving rhetoric that will be recounted in newspapers and blogs and taught in countless classrooms. It can be a place for sarcasm, for belittling humor, for uplifting phrases, for clear, grand theories that cut through the complicated maze of facts and circumstances that make up our country. For Justice O’Connor, this was all mostly beside the point, and her clerks knew it. In her fundamentally humble view, the Supreme Court is a court whose job is to decide cases on their messy facts.

Lots of folks appreciated this pragmatic common law approach by the time Justice O’Connor retired. Some appreciated it mostly because the alternative seemed worse: A judge who decides the narrow issue before her based on the particular facts of the case was attractive when the alternative may have been a judge whose theories you do not share. But for many like me in the country, confronting deeply uncivil and ideologically polarized politics in every branch of government, Justice O’Connor’s approach to judging was attractive regardless of political persuasion.

INCLUSIVENESS Working for Justice O’Connor, you learned quickly that she listened to everybody, including people with whom she disagreed. Her strong views on federalism did not keep her from reading the scholarship of virtually every person who had ever written about state and federal powers. Her attitude was that she had something to learn from everybody. Her clerks over the years came from every conceivable ideological, racial, and personal background and from a wide range of law schools. She wanted you to argue with her and with one another—civilly of course, but with no taboo subjects and no ideological blinders. Her attitude was that everyone, and every idea, had an equal chance to prove itself, but that there should be no pretending that a flawed idea or flawed effort made the grade. It was a challenging environment. She let you know if your work was poor or your ideas half-baked. She borrowed freely what she found persuasive and unsentimentally rejected the rest. She made you feel as if you were only as good as your latest effort and that every effort you made had to be the best you could do.

At the same time, Justice O’Connor was a considerate boss. She was the first woman I worked for, and one of the first bosses I’d ever had who noticed I had a family and demands elsewhere in my life. She was welcoming to my parents, who had almost no exposure to law and lawyers and were curious why I was choosing to become a “clerk.” Justice O’Connor called them and brought them to the Court so that they could see where I worked and why it mattered. When my first son was born during the clerkship, Justice O’Connor understood that my wife had an important career too and that I would have new responsibilities, which at times would require me to bring my son to work (a revolutionary notion at the time). The clerks’ children became her “grandclerks,” and her responsibility as well, and it showed in how she treated them and us each day at work and outside it.

RELIGION Finally, I learned about religion from Justice O’Connor. The Court had many religion cases in October Term 1984, involving prayer in public schools, federal and state aid to parochial schools, and the treatment of religious beliefs by private employers and federal, state, and local governments. They were hard cases, and Justice O’Connor’s views did not always prevail. Through the Term, she taught me two lessons—one through her opinions and one through her example. Her opinions were animated by a pragmatic realization that religion cannot be excised from all government and policy, especially given how expansive government has become. Kids in parochial schools may need remedial help from the government, just as those in public schools. Justice O’Connor taught that while there are many appropriate ways to manifest religious faith and to use that faith to inform policy, the Constitution nevertheless keeps the government from endorsing one faith and particularly from being mobilized with all its powers to favor one faith over another. In 1984, it seemed a subtle point in what was the most maddening area of constitutional doctrine. In 2006, it seems more vital than subtle, and not just in the United States.

Overlaying this lesson, however, was Justice O’Connor’s example of a devout person who manifests her faith through action rather than through public pronouncements to the faithful in her public role as a Justice. There are thousands of people in all walks of life to whom Justice O’Connor has reached out and helped over her career—the editors of the Stanford Law Review could have assembled a set of tributes from virtually any sect or occupation in the country. In the United States, Justice O’Connor taught me a public official can bear witness to faith in many ways without using her title or office to appeal to those of one faith (and thereby communicate exclusion to others).

In the end, Justice O’Connor’s view of her limited role has precluded her from saying everything she could have said. Some things, like footnotes, ideology, and preaching, have been left down in the brush. Thank goodness for that, as well as for all the fabulous things she has accomplished as a Justice.
Taking His Case to the Supreme Court

Professor Bagenstos Fights for the Rights of the Disabled

A paraplegic man in Tennessee is arrested for failure to appear in court when he refuses to crawl, for a second time, up flights of stairs to a second-floor courtroom. A state prisoner in Georgia, confined to a cell without room enough to maneuver his wheelchair, must sit all day in his own waste.
“Ninety percent of the time, it’s the brief that matters.”

Samuel Bagenstos

Professor Samuel R. Bagenstos litigates on behalf of people with disabilities—and has taken their cases as far as the Supreme Court of the United States.

A leading authority in the field of disability law and the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), Bagenstos recently appeared before the Supreme Court to argue, in Goodman v. Georgia, that states have an obligation to provide accommodations to prisoners who have disabilities.

In this first major federalism case decided by the Roberts court, the Court ruled 9-0 in Goodman’s favor and upheld Congress’ power to apply the ADA to state prison facilities.

“The unanimous decision is important because it shows that the Roberts court is not being as aggressive as it could be in rolling back federal power,” says Bagenstos. “Even among conservative judges, there’s a core of civil rights they believe Congress can constitutionally protect.”

Earlier, in Lane v. Tennessee, Bagenstos served as the principal author of the plaintiff’s brief presented to the highest court. There, the Supreme Court also agreed with Bagenstos and held that Congress had the power to apply the ADA to make judicial proceedings available to people with disabilities.

“Writing the brief is more important than oral argument,” says Bagenstos. “Oral argument is useful if you don’t repeat what you said in the brief. It can’t win the case, but it can lose it. Ninety percent of the time, it’s the brief that matters.”

Bagenstos credits his writing skills in part to the influence of Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg, for whom he clerked at the Supreme Court.

“She’s a very good writer with a unique writing style. She uses nouns and verbs, rather than adjectives, for expression. Her style has influenced my thinking about how writing can be effective,” he says.

Bagenstos, who earned his JD magna cum laude at Harvard in 1993, came to focus on disability law through his interest in civil rights.

“I worked for the Department of Justice in the Civil Rights Division and was doing voting rights work,” he says. “Then I started doing ADA cases because the statute was new and I saw it as a chance to make new law. I found I was interested in the issues ADA law raises about civil rights law generally. The ADA doesn’t just require that similarly situated people be treated equally; it also requires that people with disabilities receive the accommodations they need—even if people without disabilities don’t get the same accommodations. It’s an interesting and important statute.”

So when Bagenstos lectures his students on constitutional law or framing legal arguments to persuade Supreme Court justices or other judges, it’s hardly theoretical.

“Most cases I teach in disability law I had some involvement in as a lawyer,” says Bagenstos. “That I continue to do work before the Supreme Court grounds my teaching in continuing practical experience. I think it’s helpful to students that I can show them how to make persuasive arguments to a court with a real decisionmaker in mind.”

His research also informs his teaching, says Bagenstos. His recent article in the Yale Law Journal, “The Future of Disability Law,” argues that antidiscrimination law cannot alone achieve the goals of the disability rights movement. And his article in the Berkeley Journal of Employment and Labor Law, “Has the Americans with Disabilities Act Reduced Employment for People with Disabilities?,” examines empirical evidence on the effects of the ADA.

The answer to that question, he says, is complicated: “The ADA has probably not reduced employment, but it hasn’t really improved employment for people with disabilities either.”

His empirical approach in that research may have been influenced by his wife, Margo Schlanger, who was recruited with Bagenstos from the Harvard Law School faculty in 2004. “Margo’s an empiricist and values her strong group of colleagues at Washington University who do empirical analysis on the impact of laws,” says Bagenstos.

An authority on jails, prisons, and prisoner litigation, Schlanger helped Bagenstos in Goodman v. Georgia. “Margo’s an outstanding sounding board and knows more than I ever will about prisoner rights,” says Bagenstos.

Like his wife, he feels that Washington University School of Law is the right place. “What lured me is that it’s a school with a lot of energy. And it’s small enough that I can interact with other departments. I like to learn from many different disciplines, and here I’m able to do that.”

As a full-time academic and only part-time lawyer, he’s also able to delve deeper into the nuances of law. “I really love practicing law,” Bagenstos says. “But the cases I worked on raised interesting questions that I didn’t have time to pursue. So I decided to be an academic to have time to think and explore issues in depth. I’m practically grounded on the issues, but try to engage with them on a more theoretical level.”

Ann Hubbard, a professor at the University of Cincinnati College of Law and a former colleague at the United States Department of Justice, appreciates Bagenstos’ union of practical and theoretical: “Sam keeps his feet on the ground and his hand in practice even as his intellect soars into lofty realms of theory. Sam is a lawyer’s lawyer and a scholar’s scholar. Disability lawyers seek him out as a legal strategist and Supreme Court advocate. Disability scholars and theorists look to him as a leading thinker and arguably the pre-eminent scholar in his field.”
For Kinshasa Williams, born and raised in New Orleans, welcoming 16 members of her extended family to her two-bedroom Atlanta townhouse for several months following Hurricane Katrina was the obvious thing to do. Helping people comes naturally to Williams, JD ’03, an attorney specializing in health care law.
“I realized that I wanted to live my life and make a difference ... I thought I would have the skills and the knowledge to be a better advocate for women as an attorney.” KINSHASA WILLIAMS

Whether she’s accommodating her own family, providing pro bono legal aid for pregnant teens, or advocating accessible health care for women, Kinshasa Williams is typically at work on behalf of other people.

And law is not the first career in which she’s championed the disadvantaged. Before going to law school, she was an epidemiologist with the Louisiana Office of Public Health and with the United States Department of Health and Human Services, working to achieve higher standards of care in Louisiana’s family planning clinics.

While a youngster, Williams planned to be a physician. She completed a pre-med program with a major in psychology at Emory University. But her psychology studies redirected her to public health.

“I became more interested in the behavioral side,” she explains. Her research interests ranged from HIV/AIDS and partner notification issues to breast cancer, heart disease, anorexia, and bulimia, as well as the relationship between behavior and health. She also developed a concern for public policy.

After graduating from Emory, she earned a master’s in public health in 1998 from Tulane University. From there she went to work for Louisiana’s Office of Public Health, beginning as a management intern coordinating a teen pregnancy task force. “At the time, Louisiana was ranked second in the country for teen pregnancy,” she notes.

It was a profound learning experience. Growing up in New Orleans’ liberal environment had insulated her from the state’s more prevailing conservatism. She was shocked to discover, for instance, that sex education was nonexistent outside New Orleans. “People thought there was only one way to deal with teen pregnancy—abstinence,” she says. “We learned from teens that there needs to be a more complex approach.”

Williams testified before a state senate committee, seeking funds for a pregnancy prevention program “to help teens make better choices” and, failing abstinence, to promote safe sex. The proposal failed, providing another learning experience—the frustrations of practical politics.

From the task force, Williams took a position as an epidemiologist, working as the family planning clinic standards of care coordinator for both the state and federal governments. In Louisiana’s impoverished communities, she explains, “many women use family planning clinics as their primary health care provider.” Her job was to ensure that the clinics offered adequate care. Coming face to face with suffering women across the state fired her passion for women’s rights.

About the same time her father died, and his loss led to some soul-searching. “I realized that I wanted to live my life and make a difference,” she says. “I thought I would have the skills and the knowledge to be a better advocate for women as an attorney.”

So, in one month, she decided to switch careers and go to law school. She visited the law school at Washington University, “and it just clicked for me,” she recalls. The School’s top-ranked clinical program was one reason. “I knew I would get a great clinical experience,” which, she’s convinced, “is where you learn how to be a good lawyer.”

Her public health background led naturally to studies in health law. She developed a keen interest in medical-records privacy issues, sparked in part by a notorious abortion case in which a pro-life hospital staffer posted a patient’s name, photo, and records on the Internet in a clear attempt at public humiliation.

Williams now works at Powell Goldstein LLP in Atlanta in one of the nation’s largest health care law practices. Her clients are chiefly hospitals, physicians, and physician practice groups. She helps them maintain compliance with ever-expanding regulations about medical records protection and other issues.

She loves her job and her firm. “They’ve been very supportive of me in the public interest work that I like to do,” she says.

This work takes various forms. She provides pro bono assistance to disadvantaged young women and girls. She’s part of the Atlanta Women’s Foundation Destiny Fund, a group of young women identified as emerging philanthropists. The fund supports organizations that deal with family violence, economic justice, women’s health, and homelessness. And she serves on the board of the Feminist Women’s Health Center, a nonprofit organization that promotes accessible health care for women, community education, and advocacy.

In her vanishing spare time, she runs daily and enjoys working out, traveling, and reading fiction. Along with her legal education and her “lifetime friends” from law school, her enthusiasm for exercise is a benefit of her years at Washington University. “I started an exercise program there and made a lifetime commitment to my health,” she says.

Her commitment to fitness has mirrored the commitment and dedication she displays in fighting for the rights of women and girls. “Working out and working on behalf of women and girls serve as sources of empowerment for me.”
A major race riot raged in the poor, mostly black community of Overtown, just 20 blocks to the north, the night John W. Kozyak, JD ‘75, and his partners moved into their new Miami, Florida, law office in 1982. The event helped spur his professional commitment to diversity.
“A lot of people talk about diversity, but John has really put hard work behind his passion.”

DETRA SHAW-WILDER

JOHN KOZYAK IS NO STRANGER TO RACIAL INJUSTICE. He grew up in Granite City, Illinois, in the 1950s and 1960s, a time when both de facto and de jure segregation were more the rule than the exception in the greater St. Louis area.

“When you’re a kid, there’s not a lot you can do about something like that, though it was clear to me that it was wrong,” Kozyak says. “But seeing the 1982 riot in Overtown motivated me. I realized that I was now in a position to do something about it.”

He and his partners quickly committed their commercial litigation firm of Kozyak Tropin & Throckmorton PA (KT&T) to becoming a national leader in recruiting and developing talented minority lawyers. The firm established a $20,000 scholarship for minority students and started seeking out female, Hispanic, and African-American lawyers. But the firm’s most dynamic and influential project was reviving a mentoring program for black law students at the University of Miami. In addition to providing financial aid and mentoring, the Miami program encourages African-American law students to live and work in the metropolitan area after graduation.

“Miami didn’t have much of a black middle class. It was difficult for African-American lawyers to feel at home,” says Kozyak. “We wanted to create a place for them to be comfortable and make connections so that they would stay in Miami.”

Looking back about 20 years, Kozyak remembers that the University of Miami’s program to match black students with lawyers was struggling. Laurel Isicoff, now the first female judge on the United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of Florida, was one of the mentors in the program.

“John decided to kick-start the program,” she says, “and once John gets committed to something, you either get on the train or get out of the way.”

Throughout her 14-year tenure as an attorney and shareholder of KT&T, Isicoff mentored students, fulfilling a commitment to diversity “that had always been a part of my life.”

The mentoring program, which has expanded to all law schools in Florida, is named after Kozyak at the University of Miami and at St. Thomas University. Today more than 300 alumni attest to the program’s success.

One of them is Nathalie Cadet-James. A litigator with the Miami firm of Kenny Nachwalter PA and a KT&T scholarship recipient, she is currently mentoring two students.

“Having John as a mentor is truly a blessing. He just took me under his wing and opened so many doors for me,” she says. “I’ve learned a lot from him, both on a professional level and personal level. Because of these experiences, I am a better person, and hopefully, like John, a good mentor to those in my community.”

Corali Lopez-Castro still considers Kozyak her mentor after 17 years. Now a partner at KT&T and president of the Cuban American Bar Association, she admires his commitment.

“If he has a mentee looking for a job in Atlanta, he’ll open his Rolodex and call every person he knows in Atlanta and get him or her interviewed,” Lopez-Castro says. “In this life, that’s all you want—someone to open the door. That’s what John does. He changes lives.”

Detra Shaw-Wilder, now a partner at KT&T, credits Kozyak’s support with her success in the community and in her profession. She played a key role in organizing Miami’s second annual Minority Mentoring Picnic—the best example of the mentoring program’s emphasis on developing social and professional contacts.

A recent picnic combined succulent roast pigs, steaming pots of paella, a jambalaya of music, and approximately 1,000 attendees, including influential lawyers and judges along with some 300 students seeking mentors.

Kimberly Norwood, professor of law at Washington University, was among the participants. She recalls: “The picnic was not just fun, but incredibly organized. John worked the crowd to make sure people were talking and connecting. Even the deejay would periodically remind people to circulate, connect, and exchange information. It was just a wonderful event.”

About two years ago, Kozyak was the impetus for a similar mentoring program in St. Louis. With the assistance of Washington University law professors Norwood and David Becker, an alumni management panel established a mentoring program for African-American law students. The panel members implementing the program are Glenn Dalton, JD ’77; Thomas Lowther, JD ’62; Joan Newman, JD ’72; Hope Whitehead, JD ’90; and Ebony Woods, JD ’03.

Thus far about 30 Washington University students have participated, and the panel is targeting about 20 more students. Kozyak recently flew to St. Louis to speak to the mentees and mentors, the latter of which are drawn from St. Louis area lawyers and judges.

Over the years, Kozyak and/or his firm have received numerous awards for his commitment to diversity and advancement in the legal profession, including a Washington University School of Law Distinguished Alumni Award and two diversity awards from the Florida Bar—the G. Kirk Haas Award and an award from the Young Lawyers Division.

Meanwhile, Kozyak says his greatest satisfaction comes from seeing attitudes toward diversity in his profession come full circle. “We used to hear lawyers say, ‘I’d hire minorities if I could find qualified ones.’ Now those same lawyers are trying to sell their firms as good places for minorities to work. It’s gratifying to have been involved in turning that around.”
Published in 1810, this English translation of the Penal Code of the Qing Court is one of the oldest volumes in the East Asian law collection.
Expanding Access

East Asian Law Book Collection among Largest in the United States

As American law firms pursue expanded business opportunities in China, Japan, and Korea, the need to teach about and conduct research in the legal systems of these countries grows increasingly important. Thanks to foresight and planning, the Washington University Law Library has emerged over the last decade as the leading Midwestern resource for East Asian law.

CRIME AND PUNISHMENT
This illustration is one of 22 engravings in The Punishments of China, published in 1808.
“With the globalization of our economy, legal services are globalizing, also.”

Wei Luo

According to a recent inventory, the Washington University Law Library contains nearly 4,800 titles and 10,000 volumes in Chinese, Japanese, and Korean law. Wei Luo, director of the library’s technical services, estimates the School of Law’s East Asian law holdings rank among the nation’s top five university collections.

“We are focused on this much earlier than most,” says Luo, author of numerous books and articles on the Chinese legal system. “One of our earliest collections on Chinese law is the English translation of the Penal Code of the Qing Court, published in 1810 in London by T. Cadell and W. Davies.”

The Chinese collection actually began in the 1960s, thanks to the efforts of the late William C. Jones, the Charles F. Nagel Professor Emeritus of International and Comparative Law. Jones conducted groundbreaking work in Chinese and comparative law for more than 40 years. Among his scholarly endeavors were his translations of the last major imperial Chinese legal code, The Great Qing Code, and the first precursor of the civil code of the People’s Republic of China (PRC), The General Principles of the Civil Law.

The Law Library now has extensive collections of Chinese and Japanese primary legal materials. It also has a comprehensive Chinese language collection of legal scholarly works and major practitioner’s materials. In addition, the library’s current acquisition policy calls for the purchase of all new East Asian law titles published in English. And the library subscribes to major periodicals of Chinese and Japanese law, as well as selectively purchases law-related books published in those languages.

To collect the most current Chinese law books and avoid middleman charges, faculty and staff members traveling to China have assisted by selecting and purchasing current works at Chinese bookstores. “This acquisition practice makes our Chinese law collection one of the most current in the country,” Luo notes.

Acquiring Japanese law materials is also a challenge, since the government itself does not publish primary documents; everything is printed privately. With the arrangement of John Haley, the Wiley B. Rutledge Professor of Law and a distinguished Japanese law scholar, the library eagerly accepted a donation from retired Osaka High Court Judge Moshiro Iseki. The gift includes hundreds of volumes of case and statutory laws from the judge’s personal collection.

“We were delighted to receive his collection,” says Haley. “It includes complete compilations of Supreme Court decisions, along with treatises and other important materials.”

A second, extensive set of materials was given to the library several years ago by the Aoyama Law School.

“The result is that our library’s holdings rank us one of the best in the country, clearly establishing that anyone here can do any research that might be necessary with our Japanese legal materials,” he says.

The Korean collection focuses mainly on current statutes and various Korean law journals. The School also has an exchange program with the Korean Supreme Court Library, and it has received books as gifts from Korean students. Additionally, the Internet broadens access to materials for all three countries.

“Students, faculty, and outside researchers can access contemporary statutes and cases of the three countries through our subscriptions to online databases and publications,” Luo says. “For example, one of our alumni, who practices law in New York and was visiting the law school for a reunion, recently was pleased to obtain the English translation of three Chinese laws by accessing an online database, Chinalawinfo.com, to which our library subscribes.”

The law school’s early interest in Asian legal systems proved fortunate when the U.S.–China Legal Cooperation Fund awarded a series of grants beginning in 1999. The grants involved a cooperative project to introduce the United States’ legislative codification system to China. Initiated by the Law Library and the Legislative Affairs Office of the PRC’s State Council, the project falls under the local direction of Luo and Philip Berwick, associate dean for information resources.

“The project brought our research to a different level,” Luo says. “Since 1978, China has produced a complex infrastructure of thousands of central and local laws, administrative regulations, orders, notices, and other policy pronouncements.”

The estimated 73,745 pieces of law and regulations initially were compiled in chronological order by a variety of governmental agencies—without subject codification, comprehensive indexing, or cross-referencing, he says. The project seeks to address these issues.

“Searching Chinese law is difficult and frustrating even for those educated in Chinese law,” Luo says. “It’s inaccessible both to the average citizen and to legal professionals from other countries who are unfamiliar with its chronology.”

Jiansheng Li, JSD ’02, was impressed with the extent of the Chinese collection while he was conducting research for his dissertation.

“The collection of Chinese law is comprehensive, rich, and up-to-date,” he says. “When I..."
I wrote my dissertation on Chinese products liability, I found a lot of very valuable materials in the library, including China’s main national statutes; local regulations; judicial interpretations of the Chinese Supreme People’s Court; cases decided by Chinese courts at various levels; legal statistics; and many law articles, reviews, and books.”

Aside from meeting the expanding needs of practitioners, researchers, and faculty, the library’s Asian collection is of growing interest among JD and LLM students. Washington University is attracting greater numbers of law students from Asia, Luo observes, and, at the same time, more American students are interested in studying Chinese, Japanese, or Korean law.

James Hofman, JD/MA ’05, had lived in Japan for four years before enrolling in Washington University’s law and East Asian studies joint-degree program. His ability to speak and read Japanese helped his research efforts.

“I probably had more occasion than most to utilize the collection,” he says. “I found it was very solid in primary sources in Japanese, as well as supplemental secondary literature.”

As a research assistant for Haley, Hofman conducted background research on constitutional challenges to Article 9 of the Japan Constitution, which essentially forbids the country from maintaining a standing military.

“In the course of this research, I used the library’s CD-ROM compendium of Japanese court opinions, which stretches back to the post-World War II period,” Hofman says. “It’s a lot like a Japanese version of Westlaw. It’s a powerful research tool, and I understand it’s very unusual for libraries outside Japan to have it in their collection. I’m confident that these resources make the law school an even more attractive choice to potential Asian LLMs or JDs.”

The current job market also attracts students to Asian legal studies, Haley observes: “There are growing numbers of jobs available for lawyers who are dually trained in the language and the law of both systems—Asia and the United States. Law schools that have the research capability and the faculty to address that need attract students, and we are among the leaders in this area.”
Once in a lifetime,” “inspiring,” and “enormously educational” are just a few of the words School of Law students use to describe their summer public interest law internships arranged in Africa through the Africa Public Interest Law & Conflict Resolution Project. Since the project’s inception six years ago, 50 students have traveled to South Africa to provide free legal aid to low-income people during the summer.

“South Africa is a wonderfully rich environment for students to study an emerging democracy and an emerging economy.”

KAREN TOKARZ

Working in South Africa is attractive to law students because the region is at the forefront of “transitional justice,” an emerging legal field, says Professor Karen Tokarz, who directs the School of Law’s Clinical Education and Alternative Dispute Resolution Programs and who has coordinated summer internships for students in South Africa since 2002.

“After the horrific period of apartheid, South Africans voluntarily engaged in a truth and reconciliation process. They committed themselves to transforming their society and creating a new legal order,” Tokarz says. “South Africa is a wonderfully rich environment for students to study an emerging democracy and an emerging economy.”

Eleanor Forbes, JD ’08, worked in South Africa in summer 2006 after her first year of law school. “My internship was a once-in-a-lifetime experience,” she says. “I was able to see a legal system as it was transitioning and to see constitutional law in its earliest development. My time in Africa was enormously educational. I now understand better the issues facing societies there.”

Law students have worked with various public interest law organizations in South Africa, including the Legal Aid Board of South Africa, the Children’s
Rights Centre, the Durban Lesbian and Gay Community and Health Centre, and the University of KwaZulu-Natal Campus Law Clinic and Street Law Program.

Working with the Legal Aid Board in Durban, Forbes assisted with client intake, observed court hearings, and assisted with High Court appeals.

Ryan Haigh, JD ’06, also worked with the Legal Aid Board after his first year. He focused on land redistribution cases involving different populations that have been forced multiple times to relocate.

In summer 2005, after receiving a second public interest stipend from the School of Law, Haigh returned to Africa to work for the United Nations International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda. He conducted investigative missions into Rwanda and the Congo, and drafted a brief that included two issues of first impression before the court.

Ibadat Dhillon, JD ’06, interned at the Children’s Rights Centre in Durban after his first year of law school. He worked on advocacy and community education projects relating to children and HIV/AIDS.

“We monitored what had been done to provide access for children to anti-retroviral drugs that are mandated under the new South African National HIV Treatment Plan and recent constitutional court decisions,” he says.

With a master’s degree in public health from Emory University, Dhillon had previously worked on women’s and children’s public health issues for the World Health Organization in Tanzania and Laos. The externship in Durban sparked his growing interest in international intellectual property law, especially as it concerns drug research and reducing the cost of medicines in developing countries.

“Even if these countries can make the drugs and make them cheaper, frequently they can’t sell them because of patent laws,” Dhillon says. “My work in South Africa offered a great learning experience. I saw how communities are actually affected by law and globalization.”

The next summer Dhillon received a second public interest stipend from the School of Law to work for the Commission on Intellectual Property, Innovation, and Public Health at the World Health Organization in Geneva.

Along with Forbes, four other students worked in Durban for 10 weeks in summer 2006. All interned at the Legal Aid Board of South Africa, which provides free legal assistance in civil and criminal matters to indigent clients. The students also spent two additional weeks in Cape Town, learning comparative constitutional law at the University of Western Cape. Five more students are interning with the Legal Aid Board and studying in Cape Town this summer.

In fall 2006, the Africa Public Interest Law & Conflict Resolution Project evolved to become a student–faculty initiative that assists students interested in working and studying in Africa.
In summer 2006, Washington University students had the opportunity to work with public interest law organizations in Accra, Ghana, promoting human rights initiatives and offering legal assistance to indigent women, children, and communities.

Kimberly Norwood, professor of law, developed placements for five students with assistance from Karen Tokarz, professor of law, and Sena Dei-Tutu, JSD '06, a native of Accra and an adjunct faculty member at the University of Ghana.

Four law students interned for the Legal Resources Centre, which works with communities to ensure human rights, social progress, and development, especially in the areas of civil liberties, health, employment, education, and housing. One student worked with the International Federation of Women Lawyers–Ghana Legal Aid Services, which offers legal advice, representation, and mediation services for indigent women and children.

During their 10-week internships, the students provided legal services to clients regarding divorce, domestic violence, economic empowerment, education and literacy, and conflict resolution. They also engaged in policy work and legislative reform, including analyses of pending legislation on terrorism, whistleblowing, and the transfer of convicted persons.

One of the highlights for Naomi Warren, JD/MSW ’08, was attending a two-day workshop with members of a Ghanaian Parliamentary subcommittee that focused on the antiterrorism and the transfer of convicted persons legislation.

“I was thrilled to learn so much about the inner workings of the Ghanaian legislative system,” she says. “After attending the workshop, I was entrusted with writing a report on the proceedings that will be sent to the Constitutional, Legal & Parliamentary Affairs Committee and ultimately to the entire House.”

Tracy Franklin, JD ’07, worked on several projects, including investigating and documenting information to be submitted to the World Bank on serious drainage system problems and drafting a policy report on proposed whistleblower legislation. She also made presentations at public meetings and local schools on human rights and the importance of education for girls, and conducted an on-site community survey on the education of Ghanaian children.

“My experience in Ghana was invaluable,” Franklin says. “I worked with different groups of people, including public interest attorneys, members of Parliament, chiefs, nonprofit organization leaders, youth leaders, the general public, and members of the news media. I felt my work made a difference for the people of Accra, and that I made a small contribution to the transitional justice occurring in Ghana.”

Barbara Burdette, JD/MA ’08; Rebekah Henn, JD ’07; and Jessica Mills, JD/MBA ’08, also worked in Ghana last summer. Four more students are following in their footsteps, working with the Legal Resources Centre in Accra, Ghana, and in Nairobi, Kenya, this summer.

Franklin and Mills returned to Africa to study as exchange students at the University of KwaZulu-Natal in Durban, South Africa in spring 2007. Warren will study at UKZN in fall 2007.

by Ann Nicholson
Addressing the Atrocities in Sierra Leone

During a recent School of Law lecture, David Crane, former chief prosecutor for the Special Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL), described his international human rights work in West Africa. He also thanked the six Washington University students who had assisted his efforts through a research program known as the Academic Consortium.

“The Academic Consortium proved its worth by saving my office countless hours and millions of dollars in attorney time,” Crane says. “Their work has been exceptional and contributed to the advancement of international criminal law.”

Ilissa Gould, JD ’06, says having the opportunity to work on the project and then to meet Crane was inspirational: “He is one of the most interesting people I’ve ever met, and he told us personally how much he appreciated our work.”

Tapped for their faculty expertise, several law schools nationwide participated in the consortium. As a recognized authority in international criminal law, Leila Nadya Sadat, the Henry H. Oberschelp Professor of Law, opened the door to Washington University’s involvement. She directs the law school’s Summer Institute for Global Justice in the Netherlands and has served as an expert for the East Timor Special Court.

While gaining valuable practical experience, the students who participated in the consortium also provided crucial information to the court, Sadat says.

“The students prepared research memoranda to which they attached photocopies of their resource materials so the consortium lawyers could both review the memoranda and read the research for themselves,” Sadat says. “This was invaluable to the lawyers who have minimal research assistance and limited access to resource materials.”

The SCSL is an innovative court, established by a treaty between the government of Sierra Leone and the United Nations in 2002. It prosecutes those who bear “the greatest responsibility” for war crimes and crimes against humanity committed during the region’s civil war. The war ended in 2002, but the list of atrocities suffered by untold thousands of people is long and abysmal, Crane said.

Over a period of 10 years, there was “a whirlwind of death and destruction the likes of which the world has never seen,” he told the School of Law audience. Witnesses pleading for justice showed up at the special court hearings by the hundreds, he reported.

Gould, who interned at the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia, applauds the law school’s effort to provide practical international legal experiences.

“Projects like the consortium are a fantastic opportunity for students to enhance their learning of international law and to contribute to an evolving body of law,” she says.

“To watch (the victims) stand up and walk past the indictees with their heads held high—ladies and gentlemen, that’s why you want to become lawyers. That’s what law is all about.”

David Crane, former chief prosecutor, Special Court for Sierra Leone, West Africa

by Janet Edwards

David Crane (left) with consortium participant Ryan Haigh, JD ’06

SUMMER 2007 Washington University Law Magazine | 25
Jane Aiken
William M. Van Cleve
Professor of Law and
Director of the Civil
Justice Clinic

Jane Aiken recently
published articles in the
St. Louis University Law Review; University
of Maryland Journal of Race, Religion, Gen-
der and Class; and Fordham Law Review
(with Stephen Wizner). She and Sarah Buel
drafted an ABA report on clemency and
parole for domestic violence victims.

Aiken has become increasingly involved
in interdisciplinary and international activities. She
serves on the Steering Committee for
the McDonnell International Scholars Acad-
emy, on the International Society for the
Scholarship of Teaching and Learning, and
on the Fulbright Selection Committee for
Law. In addition to her ongoing work in
Nepal, Aiken began a student internship
program in the Republic of Georgia. She
and other international scholars at the
Rockefeller Center in Bellagio, Italy,
drafted a white paper on the development
of a Georgian national narrative.

She gave presentations at the Washington
University International Pluralism Conference;
Washington University Global Feminism Con-
ference; Association of American Law
Schools (AALS) New Teachers Con-
ference; AALS Clinical Teachers Con-
ference; Gonzaga Teaching Workshop;
Global Alliance for Justice Education;
Tsinghua University in Beijing, China;
Hollins College; and an ABA national
teleconference.

She serves on the Federal Judicial
Center faculty, was a visiting scholar at
Loyola Law School in Chicago, and co-
teaches with faculty in the University’s
School of Medicine and George Warren
Brown School of Social Work. She is on
the Chancellor’s Committee on Com-
munity/University Partnership, the Pro-
gram Committee for the Center for
Ethics & Human Values, the Executive
Committee of the Community & Urban
Studies Program, and the social work
school’s Field Placement Task Force.

At the law school, Aiken chaired the
Dean’s Workgroup on Teaching and
Learning.

Aiken’s work with others in the Civil
Justice Clinic, which she directs, was
instrumental in the release from prison of a
domestic violence victim. Aiken serves on the
board of the St. Louis Family Violence Coun-
cil and co-chairs the Women’s Subcommittee
of the ABA Committee on Criminal Justice.
She received the University’s Gerry and Bob
Virgil Ethic of Service Award and an award
from Women’s Support and Community Ser-
vices in St. Louis.

Susan Frelich Appleton
Lemma Barkeloo
& Phoebe Couzins
Professor of Law

Susan Appleton’s recent
publications include the
third edition of her case-
book, Modern Family Law: Cases and Materi-
als (with D. Kelly Weisberg), and two articles,
“Presuming Women: Revisiting the Presump-
tion of Legitimacy in the Same-Sex Couples Era,”
Boston University Law Review, and
“Unraveling the ‘Seamless Garment’:
Loose Threads in Pro-Life Progressivism,”
University of St. Thomas Law Journal.

Appleton spoke at the Institute for
Women’s and Gender Studies at the Univer-
sity of Missouri–St. Louis on “Women and
the New Supreme Court” (with Margo
Schlanger); at the Midwest Regional Con-
ference on “Assisted
Reproduction: Beyond the Basics”; at the
annual Law & Society meeting in Baltimore
on “Power Couples” (with Robyn Rimmer,
JD ‘03); and at the annual meeting of the
American Association of Law Libraries on
FAIR v. Rumsfeld. Appleton and Laura
Rosenbury have organized a symposium
issue of the Washington University Journal
of Law & Policy on “Following Marriage,”
which will explore contemporary understand-
ings and critiques of marriage and
other relationships that the law does and
does not recognize.

Appleton continues to serve as secretary
of the American Law Institute (ALI) and as a
member of the ALI Council and the Council’s
Executive Committee. She also sits on the
Board of Directors of the American Bar
Foundation and serves on the University
Faculty Senate Council.

Samuel R. Bagenstos
Professor of Law

Samuel Bagenstos
published four articles in
2006: “The Structural Turn
and the Limits of Antidis-
crimination Law” in the
California Law Review; “The Perversity of
Limited Civil Rights Remedies: The Case of
‘Abusive’ ADA Litigation” in the UCLA Law

Kim Norwood (right) chaired the Midwestern People of Color Legal Scholarship Conference. Akila Kannan, JD ’06, served as one of Norwood’s recent research assistants.
Choice" in the faculty-edited journal Gender and Disability, was accepted for publication in the Harvard Law and Policy Review.

Bagenstos presented papers at faculty workshops at the Seton Hall, Cardozo, University of Missouri–Columbia, UCLA, Brooklyn, University of Nebraska, Duke, and Emory law schools, as well as at the Workplace Theory and Policy Seminar at the Yale Law School and the University of Chicago Law and Philosophy Colloquium. He also spoke at a number of scholarly and professional conferences.

He remained active as an appellate advocate. He argued and won United States v. Georgia in the Supreme Court of the United States. In that case, the Court ruled in favor of his client, a Georgia inmate, and rejected the state's challenge to the constitutionality of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), under Section 5 of the 14th Amendment. He also argued two cases in the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit on behalf of state protection advocates.

He also spoke at a number of scholarly and professional conferences.

David M. Becker

Joseph H. Zumbalen
Professor Emeritus of the Law of Property and Associate Dean for External Relations


Christopher A. Bracey

Professor of Law and Professor of African & African American Studies

Christopher Bracey continues his research and scholarship on race relations and American law. His most recent article, “The Cul de Sac of Race Preference Discourse,” is slated for publication in the Southern California Law Review. He presented a paper, “Getting Back to Basics: Some Thoughts on Dignity, Materialism, and a Culture of Racial Equality,” at the Tenth Annual Latino/a Critical Theory Conference, in San Juan, Puerto Rico. The paper is slated for publication in the UCLA Chicano-Latino Law Review. Bracey also participated in a University forum on Hurricane Katrina, the transcript of which was published in the spring 2006 edition of Belles Lettres.

In fall 2005, Bracey received a joint appointment in the African & African American Studies Program in Arts & Sciences at Washington University. He has since moderated and participated in a variety of University events, including the Danforth Scholars Colloquium on the Nomination of Chief Justice John Roberts, the Chancellor’s Fellowship Conference Roundtable—A Response to Professor Lani Guinier, and the Lawyers Guild’s panel discussion on “The Relevance of Race in the First Year Law Curriculum.”

Beginning in July 2006, Bracey became a regular contributor and co-administrator of BlackProf (www.blackprof.com), a legal and African-American academic blog. Comprising 13 leading legal scholars at the nation’s top law schools and universities, the site averages 1,000 hits per day. He was on leave during the fall 2006 semester, developing his most recent project on the history of black conservative thought in American politics, and he accepted an appointment as a visiting associate professor of law at George Washington University during spring 2007.

Kathleen F. Brickey

James Carr Professor of Criminal Jurisprudence

Kathleen Brickey recently published the fourth edition of her casebook, Corporate and White Collar Crime. Using the corporate fraud scandals as a springboard, the new edition incorporates materials on Arthur Andersen’s obstruction of justice conviction, a case study on Ken Lay’s yearlong feud over enforcement of an SEC subpoena for Enron documents, the transcript of the sentencing hearing that resulted in the imposition of a 25-year prison term for WorldCom CEO Bernie Ebbers, and a case study on Martha Stewart’s post-trial allegations of prosecutorial misconduct.

The fifth article in her ongoing study of major corporate fraud prosecutions, “In Enron’s Wake: Corporate Executives on Trial,” was published in The Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology last spring. Drawing on newly compiled original data, the article provides a comprehensive picture of post-Enron corporate fraud trials and verdicts from March 2002 through January 2006.
Brickey also contributed a chapter, “The Aftermath of the Criminal Trial,” to a book on Martha Stewart’s Legal Problems recently published by Carolina Academic Press and published the 2006 annual supplement to her three-volume treatise, Corporate Criminal Liability. Her current works-in-progress include an article on media coverage of the corporate fraud scandals and a book on environmental crime.

Samuel W. Buell
Associate Professor of Law

Buell spoke on “The Cooperation Dilemma: New Realities of White Collar Criminal Defense” at a Stanford Criminal Justice Center symposium in Palo Alto, California, and was an invited commenter on four papers at a conference in Cambridge, Massachusetts, on Enforcement of Corporate Governance Rules, sponsored by Harvard Law School and the European Corporate Governance Institute. Buell was an invited participant in an academic roundtable on Class Action Kickbacks, jointly sponsored by Fordham, Cardozo, and Brooklyn law schools in New York, New York, and was a featured speaker on “Lessons after Enron” at the 2006 SMU Law Review Corporate Counsel Symposium in Dallas, Texas.

Kathleen Clark
Professor of Law
Kathleen Clark was elected to membership in the American Law Institute and chaired the National Security Law Section of the Association of American Law Schools (AALS). At the AALS annual meeting, she organized and moderated a panel discussion about the criminal prosecution of national security leaks. She published an article, “Ethical Issues Raised by the OLC Torture Memorandum,” in the Journal of National Security Law & Policy; it was excerpted in a legal ethics textbook. She spoke on this issue at an American University Law School program that was broadcast on C-SPAN, Wisconsin state government lawyers, and the Wisconsin State Bar Association. Clark helped write an amicus brief on behalf of legal ethics experts about lawyers’ confidentiality duty in the lawsuit, Center for Constitutional Rights v. Bush, which is challenging the NSA’s domestic spying program, and led a discussion of the program for student members of the American Constitution Society.

She spoke about ethics issues facing international lawyers at the annual meeting of the American Society of International Law and made presentations about government lawyers’ confidentiality obligations at Rutgers and Temple law schools and at the ABA’s National Conference on Professional Responsibility. Clark co-authored a book chapter on federal ethics regulation in The Lobbying Manual. She continues to serve on the Program Committee for the University’s Center for Ethics & Human Values and on the board of Servicemembers Legal Defense Network, which is working to lift the ban on gays in the military. She spoke about the military’s gay ban at a Boston College Law School conference and at meetings of the AALS and the Society of American Law Teachers.

Rebecca Dresser
Daniel Noyes Kirby Professor of Law & Professor of Ethics in Medicine

Dresser continued her work on the President’s Council on Bioethics and helped prepare its most recent report, “Taking Care: Ethical Caregiving in an Aging Society.” She also continued to serve on the editorial board for IJB: Ethics & Human Research. She delivered the following lectures: “Private-Sector Research Ethics,” 14th Annual Conley Ethics Lecture, St. Vincent’s Hospital, New York; “Terry Schiavo and Contemporary Myths about Dying,” 2007 Sims Lecture, Indiana University; and “Autonomy Is Not the Answer: Rewriting the Law on Life-Sustaining Treatment for Dementia Patients,” P. Browning Hoffman Memorial Lecture in Law and Psychiatry, University of Virginia. She gave presentations on stem cell research at the ABA annual meeting, Collegium da Vinci Symposium, Southern Methodist University, San Diego School of Christian Studies, and the Association of American Law Schools annual meeting.

Dresser led faculty workshops at Notre Dame, Case Western Reserve, and California Western law schools. She was a commentator at “Rethinking Health Law,” Wake Forest University Law School, and at “Patenting People,” Cardozo Law School. Other presentations included “Anticipating an Ethical Dilemma: Designer Genes,” Congressional Biomedical Research Caucus Briefing; “Patient Advocates in Clinical Research,” Cleveland Clinic; and “Designing Babies: Human Research Issues,” Case Western Reserve University.

John N. Drobak
George Alexander Madill Professor of Law, Professor of Economics, and Director of the Center for Interdisciplinary Studies
John Drobak’s edited collection of essays, Norms and the Law, was recently published by Cambridge University Press and his article, “AComment on Privatization and Democratization,” in Saint Louis University Law Review. Drobak completed 15 years of teaching Political Economy and other related courses in the MBA program at the United States Business School in Prague. With the transition in Central Europe winding down, the school is ending its programs, having served its purpose of providing Western business skills to Czechs, Slovaks, and other Central and Eastern Europeans. Drobak, who has been affiliated with the school since its inception, taught all the students who passed through the MBA program. He continued to serve as director of the law school’s Center for Interdisciplinary Studies, whose major events included conferences on corporate governance; poverty, wealth, and the working poor; and the legacy of the Dred Scott case. In 2007, the Student Bar Association named him the David M. Becker Professor of the Year.
Dorsey D. Ellis, Jr.
William R. Orthwein Distinguished Professor of Law

Dan Ellis continues to teach and produce scholarship in the areas of antitrust and of international and comparative competition law, while also serving on various University and law school boards, including a recent two-year term as chair of the University Judicial Board. At the law school, he also chairs the Advisory Board for the Intellectual Property & Technology Law Program and serves on the Faculty Advisory Board of the Whitney R. Harris Institute for Global Legal Studies and on the Distinguished Alumni Awards Committee.

Ellis represented the law school at a conference in Utrecht on Internationalizing Legal Education held in conjunction with the retirement ceremony for Utrecht University's dean of international studies. He also jointly taught with Professor Paul Nihoul of Louvain University a course, Comparative Competition Law, as part of the School of Law's Summer Institute for Global Legal Studies, held at Utrecht University. He taught a similar course in the University of San Diego's program at Oxford.

Additionally, Ellis participated in the APEC Competition Policy Conference at Chuo University in Tokyo, where he presented the revised version of his paper on “The Application of the ‘Essential Facilities’ Doctrine to Intellectual Property Rights.” He also served on a panel discussing monopolization and abuse of dominance, with particular attention to the Microsoft cases (in the United States, the European Union, and elsewhere). He presented “Antitrust and Health Care: Pharmaceutical Patents and Hospital Mergers” to the Office of Counsel, Inspector General of the United States, Department of Health and Human Services. Ellis attended the Association of American Law Schools annual meeting and participated in the ABA Antitrust Law Section annual meeting. He was on the planning committee for a Bar Association of Metropolitan St. Louis CLE program on “Antitrust 101: The Fundamentals of Antitrust Law,” at which he gave a presentation on monopolization. Finally, he continues to chair the Board of Directors of Maryville College (Tennessee).

Barbara Flagg
Professor of Law

Barbara Flagg presented a paper titled “The Stigma of Color: Why Whites Resist Racial Equality” at a faculty workshop at the University of Oregon School of Law. She also gave a talk, “White Privilege,” to students at the University of Missouri–Kansas City; the talk was sponsored by the Coalition for Equal Access to Education at UMKC. She presented “Stigma, Color, and Egalitarianism” at a Critical Race Theory Conference titled Race: Law, Culture and Policy held at Washington College of Law, American University.

Frances H. Foster
Edward T. Foote II Professor of Law

Frances Foster continued her research and scholarship on recent trust law reforms in the United States and China. Her article, “Privacy and the Elusive Quest for Uniformity in the Law of Trusts,” was published in the Arizona State Law Journal. Foster also participated in several conferences, including the Cardozo Law School symposium titled Trust Law in the 21st Century; the American Society of Comparative Law’s annual meeting at the University of Hawaii’s William S. Richardson School of Law; and the Committee for Legal Education Exchange with China Workshop, sponsored by the School of Law’s Whitney R. Harris Institute for Global Legal Studies. Foster spent the fall 2006 semester at the Harvard Law School’s East Asian Legal Studies Program.

Michael M. Greenfield
Walter D. Coles Professor of Law

Michael Greenfield completed his service as reporter on the Uniform Debt-Management Services Act, a uniform law to regulate credit-counseling agencies and debt-settlement companies. Introduced in several legislatures during their 2006 and 2007 sessions, the statute has been enacted in Delaware, Rhode Island, and Utah; more enactments are expected in 2008. He presented “The Past, the Present, and the Future of Teaching Consumer Law” at a conference of consumer law teachers in Houston. He was a panelist on a program organized by the School’s chapter of the Lawyers Guild, “The Relevance of Race in the First Year Law Curriculum.” Greenfield represents the law school in planning for the new Social Sciences and Law Building being constructed, and he is chairing the committee on renovation of Anheuser-Busch Hall. In December, Foundation Press published his new book, Cases and Materials on Sales. He also was named to the Board of Directors of the Consumers Council of Missouri. He is now working on the fifth edition of his casebook, Consumer Transactions.

Leigh Hunt Greenhaw
Senior Lecturer in Law

Leigh Greenhaw taught a new seminar on state and religion law with Martin Borowski, visiting scholar and Alexander von Humboldt Foundation fellow. The course covered the fundamental law of both the United States and Germany. It led to a panel on comparative German and American protection of religious liberty at the University of Maryland School of Law.

She also gave a presentation on “Using Legal Writing to Teach United States Core Concepts” at the Global Legal Skills Conference, John Marshall Law School.

Steven J. Gunn
Associate Professor of Law

Steven Gunn helped negotiate a successful settlement of a federal civil rights action brought by the School of Law’s Civil Justice Clinic, the Saint Louis University Legal Clinic, Legal Services of Eastern Missouri, and the American Civil Liberties Union of Eastern Missouri on behalf of the homeless men and women of the city of St. Louis. The lawsuit alleged that, in an effort to “sanitize” streets and parks before major public events, the city of St. Louis and the St. Louis Police Department engaged in a pattern of “sweeping” homeless people out of downtown St. Louis by arresting and jailing them without probable cause. The lawsuit also alleged that the city unlawfully forced detainees to perform community service. The settlement compensated the homeless men and women, called for an end to the illegal practices, and required the city to provide additional funding for homeless services.
Gunn continues to teach, research, and write in the area of Indian law. He worked with the student editors of the Washington University Journal of Law & Policy to coordinate a paper symposium on and wrote the introduction for “Contemporary and Comparative Perspectives on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples.” He also developed and co-taught an interdisciplinary course, American Indian Societies, Cultures, and Values. The course was co-taught by faculty from the School of Law; George Warren Brown School of Social Work; and the Departments of Anthropology, English, and Music in Arts & Sciences. Gunn directs the American Indian Law and Economic Development Externship, in which students spend a summer assisting with legal work and living on the Cheyenne River Indian Reservation in South Dakota. Chair of the Association of American Law Schools’ Section on Indian Nations and Indigenous Peoples, Gunn also spoke at several conferences throughout the year.

John O. Haley
Wiley Rutledge Professor of Law and Director of the Whitney R. Harris Institute for Global Legal Studies

John Haley continued his administrative responsibilities as director of the Whitney R. Harris Institute for Global Legal Studies. He oversaw conferences on topics ranging from the 60th anniversary of the judgment at Nuremberg to corporate governance to legal issues in United States business relationships with China. He also oversaw a research workshop on the international influence of Hans Kelsen, numerous lectures, and a variety of faculty- and student-related projects.


Haley serves on the Board of Trustees and Executive Committee of the Society for Japanese Studies, the Executive Committee for the American Society of Comparative Law, and the Board of Directors for the World Affairs Council of St. Louis. He also is an affiliate professor at the University of Washington School of Law.

Rebecca Hollander-Blumoff
Associate Professor of Law

Rebecca Hollander-Blumoff’s research focuses on the intersection of human behavior and dispute resolution systems. Her paper (co-authored with Tom R. Tyler), “Procedural Justice in Negotiation,” which presents empirical research on the role of procedural fairness in legal negotiation, was selected for presentation at the First Annual Conference on Empirical Legal Studies at the University of Texas School of Law. She presented a related paper, “Just Negotiation,” which explores the normative implications of her empirical findings on the role of procedural fairness in negotiation, at a works-in-progress workshop at Washington and Lee University School of Law.

Hollander-Blumoff also presented a paper, “Social Psychology, Information Processing, and Plea Bargaining,” at a symposium on plea bargaining and dispute resolution at Marquette Law School and at the American Bar Association Section of Dispute Resolution’s annual conference in Washington, D.C. A PhD candidate in social psychology at New York University, she continues to work on her dissertation, which explores the antecedents of procedural justice judgments through video coding of simulated legal negotiations.

Emily Hughes
Associate Professor of Law

Emily Hughes moderated a panel comprised of prosecutors and defense attorneys at a conference focused on risks of wrongful executions and the role of prosecutors, defense attorneys, academia, and the press at Washington University. She then traveled to New Orleans for the Clinical Legal Education Association’s 2007 New Clinicians Conference, where she and Peter Joy facilitated a workshop titled Who are We? Results/Discussion of New Clinicians Assessment for new clinicians.

Hughes continues to teach, research, and write in the area of criminal law and death penalty defense, with a special interest in death penalty mitigation. One of her ongo-
Peter A. Joy  
**Professor of Law and Director of the Criminal Justice Clinic**  

Peter Joy recently authored “The Relationship Between Prosecutorial Misconduct and Wrongful Convictions: Shaping Remedies for a Broken System,” *Wisconsin Law Review,* “Prosecution Clinics: Dealing with Professional Role,” *Mississippi Law Journal,* and “Criminal Law Clinics in the United States: Variation, History and the Quality of Student Representation,” *Waseda Proceedings of Comparative Law.* He also co-authored “Lawyer Ethics and the Expanding Role of the Media in Criminal Cases” in the *Professional Lawyer.* As a contributing editor to the ABA’s Criminal Justice, Joy co-authored “Destroying Documents,” “To Tape or Not to Tape: Secret Recordings,” and “Corporate Privilege Waivers in Plea Negotiations.” In addition, one of his lectures and a law review article were translated and published in Japan as a book titled *Clinical Education for This Millennium: The Third Wave.*

Joy traveled to Australia to present a keynote lecture, “Political Interference in Clinical Programs: Lessons from the U.S. Experience,” at the Third International Journal of Clinical Legal Education Conference and at the Eighth Australian Clinical Legal Education Conference. He was a plenary speaker on “The Globalization of Clinical Legal Education” at the Sixth International Clinical Conference, sponsored by the University of California–Los Angeles and the University of London. The conference was held in Lake Arrowhead, California.

Joy was named to the Board of Editors of the *Clinical Law Review,* the Association of American Law Schools’ Committee on Academic Freedom and Tenure, and the Clinical & Skills Training Committee of the ABA Section of Legal Education and Admissions to the Bar. At the law school, Joy stepped down as director of the Trial & Advocacy Program, which rose from being unranked to ranked 7th in *U.S. News & World Report* during his tenure. He served on the law school’s Appointments Committee and continues to serve as a member of the University’s Academic Freedom and Tenure Committee.

Daniel L. Keating  
**Tyrell Williams Professor of Law and Vice Dean**  

In fall 2006, Daniel Keating was appointed vice dean of the School of Law. He presented a paper titled “Why the Bankruptcy Reform Act Left Labor Legacy Costs Alone” at a bankruptcy conference at the University of Missouri–Columbia School of Law. That paper was published in a special symposium issue of the *Missouri Law Review.* In 2006, Keating published the third edition of his solely authored casebook, *Sales: A Systems Approach,* with Aspen Publishing. He also published with Aspen the third edition of his co-authored casebook (with LoPucki, Warren, and Mann), *Commercial Transactions: A Systems Approach.*

F. Scott Kieff  
**Professor of Law**  

Scott Kieff hosted, together with Troy Paredes, a conference titled Commercializing Innovation, which brought numerous leading scholars in law, economics, business, and political science to the School of Law to present draft chapters for a forthcoming book. Also with Paredes, Kieff published a paper on intellectual property, bankruptcy, and corporate control in a volume of the *Washington University Law Quarterly,* devoted to the Annual F. Hodge O’Neal Corporate and Securities Law Symposium. Additionally, Kieff published a paper about the theory and practice of intellectual property transactions in the *Houston Law Review,* based on his talk as the Second Annual Baker Botts Lecturer at the University of Houston Law Center. He gave numerous presentations during the year, including a debate about “Open Source & Intellectual Property Rights” with Stanford University law professor Larry Lessig in Palo Alto, California.

Kieff continues to be a member of the founding faculty of the Munich Intellectual Property Law Center, a joint venture of the Max Planck Institute for Intellectual Property, Competition, and Tax Law; the University of Augsburg; the Technische Universität München; and the George Washington University Law School. He is also a member of the IP Modeling Group at the Canadian Centre for Intellectual Property Policy at McGill University Faculty of Law. Kieff serves on the editorial board of the *American Intellectual Property Law Association Quarterly Journal.*

He was appointed a research fellow at the Hoover Institution at Stanford University. Kieff also continued his focus on ADR and structuring transactions to avoid and resolve disputes. He was appointed to serve on the new panel of mediators for the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

Pauline Kim  
**John S. Lehmann Research Professor (2007–08)**  

Pauline Kim’s article, “Lower Court Discretion,” recently appeared in the *NYU Law Review,* and her essay, “The Story of Luck v. Southern Pacific Transportation Co.,” appeared as a chapter in *Employment Law Stories,* published by Foundation Press. Kim is the co-author, with Marion Crain and Michael Selmi, of a casebook, *Work Law: Cases and Materials,* an accompanying teacher’s manual; and a forthcoming supplement to the book. She has recently given faculty workshops or made presentations at Duke Law School, the University of Colorado Law School, the annual meeting of Association of American Law Librarians, the Labor Law Group, and a symposium on Privacy in the Workplace at the Paul M. Herbert Law Center at Louisiana State University. Kim has been invited to join the Labor Law Group, a cooperative of law professors specializing in labor and employment law dedicated to producing quality scholarship and teaching materials on labor and employment law. She has served as an adviser to the American Law Institute’s draft *Restatement of Employment Law* since 2002.

Michael H. Koby  
**Director of the Trial & Advocacy Program, Associate Director of the Legal Practice Program, and Senior Lecturer in Law**  

Michael Koby traveled to Spain to deliver a series of lectures at the University of Murcia. He presented on the distinctive aspects of United States law and legal methodology.
He also presented at the Rocky Mountain Legal Writing Conference at the University of Arizona. His presentation titled “The Conference Conundrum” focused on the importance of effective student conferences in developing students’ skills. In July 2006, he lectured at the International Law Institute in Washington, D.C., on traditional common law systems. Also in July 2006, he was named director of the School of Law’s Trial & Advocacy Program.

David T. Konig
Professor of History and Professor of Law

David Konig and co-organizer Chris Bracey convened a national symposium held at the law school on March 1–3, 2007, titled The Dred Scott Case and Its Legacy: Race, Law, and the Struggle for Equality, to commemorate the 150th anniversary of the decision. The symposium brought together six of the seven sitting justices of the Supreme Court of Missouri and a former chief justice now on the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals, as well as leading scholars of law and history. Konig and Bracey will edit a collection of the papers, scheduled to be published in 2008. He also lectured on the case before numerous organizations, and spoke about it to local and national media.


D. Bruce La Pierre
Professor of Law and Director of the Appellate Clinic

Bruce La Pierre was a Fulbright Scholar at the Universidade Catolica Portuguesa (Lisbon), and he also lectured at the Universidad de Navarra in Pamplona, Spain. He participated in the Jean Monnet Chair International Summer Seminar titled Integrating Europe in a Changing World, recently held in Rome. He continues to serve as director of the School’s Appellate Clinic, in which students work on cases before the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit. La Pierre works closely with Michael Gans, JD ’77, clerk of the court, and Lee Marshall, JD ’99, clinic supervising attorney.

C.J. Larkin
Administrative Director of the ADR Program and Lecturer in Law

C.J. Larkin and the ADR Program received a three-year, $244,000 grant from the United States Department of State to establish exchanges between Washington University and Kathmandu law schools and between Washington University and Nepali civil society NGOs with programs in community mediation. During delegation visits here in April 2006 and 2007, Larkin and other St. Louis ADR professionals provided training and program observation opportunities. In 2007, the delegation attended the ABA ADR Committee’s annual conference in Washington, D.C. Larkin and other law school representatives made an exchange
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Stephen H. Legomsky

John S. Lehmann
University Professor

Steve Legomsky recently received the Arthur Holly Compton award (given annually to one member of the Washington University Danforth Campus faculty) and the American Immigration Lawyers Association's Elmer Fried Teaching Award. Previously a chaired professor at the School of Law, he has now been installed as the John S. Lehmann University Professor. He spent a week as the Distinguished Visiting Mentor at the Australian National University in Canberra and also spoke at the University of Iowa, Chulalongkorn University in Bangkok, and Hong Kong University, and publicly debated Phyllis Schlafly on immigration. He made presentations to various groups in Bellagio (Italy), Berlin, Geneva, Konstanz (Germany), Montreal, Sydney, Buffalo, Las Vegas, and Miami; and at Temple University and the University of Missouri–Kansas City. As part of a team creating a new, autonomous model city in Belize, Legomsky drafted the new city's proposed immigration laws. He has been interviewed recently by CNN, the New York Times, AP, AP Radio, and various other media.

Jo Ellen D. Lewis

Director of the Legal Practice Program and Senior Lecturer in Law

Jo Ellen Lewis recently completed her term as president of the Association of Women Faculty (AWF) of Washington University. In spring 2006, she chaired the 10th anniversary celebration of AWF, which comprises women faculty from all six schools on the Danforth Campus. Lewis continues to be a member of AWF's Executive Board.

As a visiting professor at Aoyama Gakuin University in Tokyo, Lewis taught an undergraduate law course, Fundamental Principles of United States Law. In addition, she taught a graduate-level law course, Special Lecture on Modern Law: Current Topics in United States Law. During her stay at Aoyama Gakuin University, she also delivered a lecture to the law faculty on "Eminent Domain: Before and After Kelo." In June 2007, Lewis gave a presentation on "Creating a Strategic Plan for Legal Practice Programs" at the Association of Legal Writing Directors conference at Denver University.

Daniel R. Mandelker

Howard A. Stamper Professor of Law

Daniel Mandelker has been appointed to a joint committee of the ABA that is studying the administrative and judicial review process in land use decisionmaking. His report on city planning reform in New Orleans, which he prepared a few years ago, recently was accepted by the Land Use Committee of the Bring New Orleans Back Commission as the basis for revision of the city's charter. He is working with the Bureau of Governmental Research on charter revisions that are expected to be submitted at the next election. The sixth edition of his co-authored casebook, State and Local Government in a Federal System, was published. He has lectured nationally on land use topics and the National Environmental Policy Act and the office's proposed “good guidance practices” bulletin and the department's planned use of direct final rulemaking.

Levin spoke at a Weidenbaum Center policy luncheon about the then-pending nomination of Harriet Miers to the Supreme Court and participated on a panel at the National Lawyers Convention of the Federalist Society on Originalism and the Administrative Procedure Act.

Ronald Levin

Henry Hitchcock Professor of Law

Ronald Levin contributed a chapter, “The Story of the Abbott Labs Trilogy: The Seeds of the Ripeness Doctrine,” to Administrative Law Stories, a book published by Foundation Press in 2006. His chapter traces the historical development of three 1967 Supreme Court cases that determine whether a regulation can be challenged in court as soon as it has been promulgated. He also published a memorial tribute to his late co-author, Ernest Gellhorn, in the Administrative Law Review. In addition, Levin and Michael Asimow published a supplement to their casebook on state and federal administrative law. Finally, Levin and Gellhorn's Administrative Law and Process in a Nutshell was published in 2006.

Levin spoke in Washington, D.C., at a panel discussion at the fall meeting of the ABA’s Section of Administrative Law and Regulatory Practice. The program was titled Judicial Review of Agency Letters—Has Automatic Laundry Been Hung Out to Dry? At the same meeting, he made two presentations on principles of judicial review observed in the courts of the European Union. He participated in the development of letters sent by the ABA Section to the Office of Management and Budget and the Department of Energy. The letters addressed
spoke on the New Orleans charter reforms at the annual meeting of the Association of American Law Schools.

Andrew D. Martin
Professor of Law, Professor of Political Science, and Director of the Center for Empirical Research in the Law
Andrew Martin continues his research on various empirical legal topics. He published the first of a two-part article on the effective communication of empirical studies in the Vanderbilt University Law Review. He also published a study about the effects of state equal rights amendments on decisionmaking in state courts of last resort in the Journal of Legal Studies. His book chapter titled “Statutory Battles and Constitutional Wars” was recently included in Institutional Games and the U.S. Supreme Court, published by the University of Virginia Press. Martin also published articles in the American Journal of Public Health, Social Science and Medicine, and R News.

Martin delivered research presentations at a number of universities, including the University of Minnesota, Emory University, and Vanderbilt University, and was a presenter or commentator at many political science and law conferences. At the law school, he serves as the founding director of the Center for Empirical Research in the Law. Martin also sits on the editorial board of Political Analysis and Legislative Studies Quarterly, and he serves on the Executive Committee of the Law & Social Sciences Section of the AALS. His article on estimating the ideology of Supreme Court justices was selected by Oxford University Press for inclusion in its Centenary Celebration Volume of 100 seminal journal articles published in all fields over the last 100 years.

Charles R. McManis
Thomas & Karole Green Professor of Law and Director of the Intellectual Property & Technology Law Program

McManis presented a paper on the topic of commercializing innovation at the inaugural academic conference sponsored by the School’s Center for Research on Innovation and Entrepreneurship. His paper was titled “The Impact of the Bayh-Dole Act on Genetic Research and Development: Evaluating the Arguments and Empirical Evidence to Date.” The article will be published as a chapter in a book being edited by conference organizers Scott Kieff and Troy Paredes.

Peter Mutharika serves on the Panel of Arbitrators and Panel for Conciliators of the International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes.

Peter Mutharika
Professor of Law
Peter Mutharika participated in the United Nations World Summit and the 60th Session of the United Nations Gen-
C.J. Larkin (right) hosts a program on conflict resolution on WGNU radio. Recent guests included Brandon James (left), JD ’08, a student in the Civil Rights & Community Justice Clinic, and Lt. Jerald Barnes, a hostage negotiator with the St. Louis Police Department.

Kimberly Jade Norwood
Professor of Law and Professor of African & African American Studies
Kim Norwood was the on-site chair and coordinator of the 17th annual meeting of the Midwestern People of Color Legal Scholarship Conference Inc., which included presenters from across the country and a feature presentation by Kent Lollis of the Law School Admissions Council on diversity and the legal profession. She recently published an article in the Howard Law Journal titled “Blackthink’s ‘Acting White’ Stigma & How it Fosters Academic Paralysis in Black Youth.” She has presented the ideas reflected in this paper in various venues, including Howard University, Purdue University, and Southern Illinois University—Edwardsville. She recently spoke at a public forum on racist and sexist words and imagery. Her talk, “Racist and Sexist Speech: Is There a Double Standard?,” generated a rich, lively, and emotional discussion. She will present this talk again at a workshop at the University of Iowa this summer. Norwood recently organized and will participate in a one-day workshop held at the law school this summer titled The Effects of Domestic Violence on Children. The workshop will include psychologists, judges, and legislators from Missouri and Illinois.

Norwood is serving as part of a multi-disciplinary team at the University, involving workshops with history and social studies teachers from the St. Louis City Public Schools. The five-month program involves Washington University professors from multiple disciplines, including education, history, psychology, and law. Norwood’s daylong workshops, titled The Struggle for Education in Black America: From Slavery through the Reconstruction, will be reproduced for other school teachers in the fall. Her expansion of the public interest summer program in 2006 to Ghana was successful, and students are participating in a one-day workshop held at the University of the Pacific McGeorge School of Law, World Affairs Council of Los Angeles, and the annual conference of the Western Economics Association International. Paredes organized two conferences: one titled Commercializing Innovation, with F. Scott Kieff, and the other titled The New Corporate Governance, with John Drobak.

Troy Paredes
Professor of Law

During the past year, Paredes had the opportunity to present papers or otherwise participate in symposia at Northwestern School of Law, University of Maryland School of Law, Boston University School of Law, University of California–Los Angeles School Law, Washington University School of Law, University of the Pacific McGeorge School of Law, World Affairs Council of Los Angeles, and the annual conference of the Western Economics Association International. Paredes organized two conferences: one titled Commercializing Innovation, with F. Scott Kieff, and the other titled The New Corporate Governance, with John Drobak.

Stanley L. Paulson
William Gardiner Hammond Professor of Law and Professor of Philosophy
Stanley L. Paulson delivered the academic keynote address at a special session of the Upper House (Bundesrat) of the Austrian Federal Parliament, held on October 11, 2006, to honor Hans Kelsen (1881–1973), legal philosopher extraordinaire and framemaker of the Austrian Federal Constitution, on the occasion of his 125th birthday. The Austrian
federal president participated in the ceremony, and numerous other dignitaries were present. Germany’s leading newspaper, the Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung, covered the event, including details of Paulson’s lecture. Paulson continues to serve as advisor to Professor Matthias Jestaedt, Erlangen, on the publication of the “Collected Works” of Hans Kelsen, a project comprising circa 33 volumes and funded in the spring of 2006 for $1 million.

During 2006, Paulson published a dozen articles, reviews, and translations, including three entries in the Oxford Journal of Legal Studies; an article in the Juristen-Zeitung, Germany’s leading general law journal; and an article on Hans Kelsen in the widely acclaimed Encyclopedia of Philosophy, now in its second edition. During the academic year 2006–07, Paulson delivered guest lectures and conference papers in Brno (in the Czech Republic), Birmingham, Berlin, Bochum, Frankfurt, Göttingen, Greifswald, Kiel, Bern, and Zurich. In March 2007, he delivered a series of lectures at the European Academy of Legal Theory in Brussels. Paulson presently holds a contract from the Oxford University Press for a full-dress monograph on Kelsen’s legal philosophy and constitutional theory, as well as a second contract from the Oxford University Press for a collaborative volume on Scandinavian legal realism.

Neil Richards
Associate Professor of Law

Neil Richards continued his research into the relationship between free speech and privacy law. His essay, “The Information Privacy Law Project,” was published in the Georgetown Law Journal, and his article, “Reconciling Data Privacy and the First Amendment,” was excerpted in two recent books compiling important works on privacy and First Amendment law. He wrote a number of shorter articles, including an essay on Griswold v. Connecticut for The Encyclopedia of Privacy, and a remembrance of Chief Justice William H. Rehnquist, which appeared in St. Louis Lawyer. Richards, a former law clerk to the late chief justice, also served as a pallbearer at his state funeral.

Richards presented his work to a number of scholarly audiences. He gave workshops on his Georgetown Law Journal essay at Cardozo Law School, Washington University, and Whittier Law School, and he presented a paper titled “The Historiographical Poverty of Privacy Law” at a symposium in memory of Richard A. Turkington at Villanova Law School. He also organized and participated in a major conference at the law school titled The Rehnquist Court and the First Amendment. Papers from this conference were published in the Washington University Journal of Law & Policy, for which he wrote the introduction.

Richards was a frequent public commentator on privacy, free speech, and Supreme Court issues for national and local print and broadcast media. He also submitted several briefs on behalf of a St. Louis-based fantasy baseball company in high-profile litigation with Major League Baseball regarding the proper balance between the First Amendment and the “right of publicity” of professional baseball players.

Laura Rosenbury
Associate Professor of Law

The student body named Laura Rosenbury the 2006 Professor of the Year in recognition of her outstanding teaching. Rosenbury also continued her research into the law of everyday life: work, family, sex, and death. Her paper titled “Between Home and School” was selected, through a blind review process, as the best paper in family law submitted to the 2006 Stanford/Yale Junior Faculty Forum. The article was published in the University of Pennsylvania Law Review in April 2007. She presented the paper at the forum at Yale Law School in June 2006; she had presented an earlier version of the paper to the faculty of the University of Illinois College of Law. Another article, “Friends with Benefits?,” will be published in the Michigan Law Review in fall 2007. Rosenbury also published an article, “Two Ways to End a Marriage: Divorce or Death,” in the Utah Law Review and served as a fellow at a conference on Trust Reform, sponsored by Cardozo Law School.

Jennifer Rothman
Associate Professor of Law

Jennifer Rothman continued her research and scholarship on intellectual property and its interaction with free markets, the entertainment industry, and free speech. Her recent article, “Initial Interest Confusion: Standing at the Crossroads of Trademark Law,” was published in the Cardozo Law Review. This article exam-
ines the troubling doctrine of Initial Interest Confusion, which allows liability for trademark infringement solely on the basis that a consumer might initially be “interested,” “attracted,” or “distracted” by a competitor’s—or even a noncompetitor’s—product or service. Initial Interest Confusion is being used with increasing frequency, especially on the Internet, to shut down speech that is critical of trademark holders and their products and services, to prevent comparative advertisements, and to otherwise limit information and choices available to consumers. Rothman also completed a book chapter on trademark law for Praeger Press, which is forthcoming this fall.

Rothman presented “Celebrity Justice? When Copyright and the Right of Publicity Collide” to the law school’s National Council. She also gave a talk in Seattle to the Washington University Alumni Association about fantasy sports leagues and, in particular, a case out of St. Louis that may permanently shut down online fantasy leagues. Rothman has appeared on the radio and in newspaper interviews about a number of cutting-edge intellectual property issues, including litigation involving fantasy sports leagues and the popular web site, Craigslist.

Leila Nadya Sadat
Henry H. Oberschelp Professor of Law
Leila Sadat recently completed several major scholarly works. Her article, “Exile, Amnesty and International Law,” was published in the Notre Dame Law Review. Her commentaries on the Saddam Hussein trial were solicited, published, and/or debated by the American Society of International Law, the Grotian Moment blog (established by Case Western Reserve University School of Law), and several law reviews, as well as news media, including the Washington Post, the Los Angeles Times, and the Jim Lehrer NewsHour on PBS. She published a commentary on the Kvoˇcka decision before the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia in IX Annotated Leading Cases of the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia. Her essay on United States detention and interrogation policies in the war on terror, which is titled “Ghost Prisoners and Black Sites: Extraordinary Rendition under International Law,” was featured at a debate at Case Western and published in the Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law. She presented a paper on a related topic at George Washington University in fall 2006. Sadat completed a third edition of her International Criminal Law casebook and is writing a book involving France and its war crimes trials.

Sadat gave presentations at Vanderbilt Law School; the Coeur d’Alene Symposium on Progress in International Organization in Idaho; the Irish Centre for Human Rights in Galway, Ireland; Temple Law School; Widener University’s international conference on global democracy; International Law Weekend in New York; and the American Law Institute annual meeting.

Sadat serves as secretary of the American Society of Comparative Law and vice president and co-director of studies for the International Law Association (American Branch). She directs the School’s Summer Institute for Global Justice in the Netherlands, advises the Philip C. Jessup International Moot Court Team, and directs the academic consortium project for the Special Court for Sierra Leone. She was awarded a grant from the University’s Center for the Humanities to start an interdisciplinary project in Norms and International Criminal Law along with Larry May, professor of philosophy. The project co-sponsored a major conference celebrating the 60th anniversary of the Nuremberg judgment.
Injunctions over Time: A Case Study of Prison and Jail Court Orders,” appeared in the NYU Law Review. The piece describes the 30-year history of litigation seeking to reform prison and jail conditions, and is based on a previously underutilized dataset assembled by the United States Department of Justice. It argues that civil rights injunctive litigation remains more prevalent and more important than many scholars have suggested. Another new article, “Second-Best Damage Action Deterrence,” appeared in the Clifford Symposium issue of the Delaware Law Review. The piece highlights ways in which damage actions induce defendants to substitute “less visible” for “more visible” harm, and “more visible” for “less visible” care—even if those substitutions do not actually reduce the number or severity of accidents. Her article, “What We Know and What We Should Know about American Trial Trends,” appeared in the Journal of Dispute Resolution. The piece was based on invited comments she gave on Marc Galanter’s 2005 Annual Distinguished Alternative Dispute Resolution Lecture at the University of Missouri–Columbia.

Schlanger served on the Commission on Safety and Abuse in America’s Prisons, chaired by former United States Attorney General Nicholas Katzenbach and former United States Court of Appeals Judge John Gibbons. The commission’s report and coverage of it is at www.prisoncommission.org. The law school hosted one of the commission’s four national hearings, and the Washington University Journal of Law & Policy republished the report and a number of witness statements.

Schlanger continues to work on a new resource for lawyers, scholars, and policymakers. The Civil Rights Litigation Clearinghouse presents previously unavailable documents and information from many hundreds of civil rights cases in several categories, including prison and jail litigation, police reform, and mental health and mental retardation facility conditions litigation. The clearinghouse, which is entirely web accessible at http://clearinghouse.wustl.edu, was launched last fall. Future case categories will include fair employment and voting rights.

Kent D. Syverud
Dean and Ethan A.H. Shepley University Professor
Kent Syverud recently published “Lessons from Working for Sandra Day O’Connor” in the Stanford Law Review (see page 10), and “How Deans (and Presidents) Should Quit” in the Journal of Legal Education. He gave an address at the New Law Dean’s Conference of the ABA and chairs the Planning Committee for the Association of American Law Schools New Law Teachers Conference. He serves on the Board of Trustees of the Law School Admission Council and was chair 2005–07. In fall 2006, he taught Negotiation; in spring 2007, both Negotiation and Civil Procedure.

Karen L. Tokarz
Professor of Law
Professor of African & African American Studies, and Director of Clinical Education & Alternative Dispute Resolution Programs
Karen Tokarz organized two national clinical conferences at the School in the past year. In spring 2006, the Clinical Education Program co-sponsored with the Center for Interdisciplinary Studies a conference titled Community Lawyering: Connecting with Clients and Communities. Following the conference, Tokarz coordinated a symposium in the Washington University Journal of Law & Policy on “Poverty, Justice, and Community Lawyering: Interdisciplinary and Clinical Perspectives,” for which she authored the introduction. In winter 2006, the Clinical Education Program and the Center for Interdisciplinary Studies co-sponsored a conference titled Convicted, Executed, and Not Guilty? Examining the Risks of Wrongful Executions and the Role of Prosecutors, Defense Attorneys, Academia, and the Press; it drew academics, legislators, prosecutors, defense attorneys, and journalists from across the country. She also coordinated with Peter Wiedenbeck the School’s ninth annual Public Defender Attorneys, Academia, and the Press; it drew academics, legislators, prosecutors, defense attorneys, and journalists from across the country. She also coordinated with Peter Wiedenbeck the School’s ninth annual Public Defender

Peter Wiedenbeck
Joseph H. Zumbalen Professor of the Law of Property and Associate Dean of Faculty
Peter Wiedenbeck contributed to an empirical study of the approach of the Supreme Court of the United States in interpreting statutes (with co-authors Nancy Staudt, Lee Epstein, René Lindstadt, and Ryan J. Vander Wielen). The findings were published in an article titled “Judging Statutes: Interpretive Regimes,” which appeared in a symposium issue of the Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review on theories of statutory interpretation. In April 2006, Wiedenbeck participated in the F. Hodge O’Neal Corporate and Securities Law Conference at the law school. The conference, titled Regulating Business: Beyond the Doctrine, brought together a select group of scholars to discuss works-in-progress that apply a variety of interdisciplinary perspectives to business law. In June 2006, Wiedenbeck also organized and moderated a two-day national conference titled Jurisgenesis: New Voices on the Law. New legal scholars and their mentors from 10 law schools participated in a forum in which the new scholars presented their works-in-progress to both their peers and experienced consumers of legal scholarship. A second Jurisgenesis conference, co-hosted by Saint Louis University, was held in June 2007. Additionally, Wiedenbeck and his co-author, Russell Osgood, are preparing a new edition of their Employee Benefits casebook for publication by ThomsonWest.
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Pete Milne is the person to go to for anything having to do with money, administration, or law school facilities.

As Associate Dean of Administration, Pete Milne helps set the School of Law’s $35-million budget, pays bills, and oversees payroll. He determines funds available for financial aid, supervises staff, handles human resources, oversees the physical facilities, and negotiates contracts for everything from copy machines to Congressional Clinic space in Washington, D.C.

This South Dakota native relishes challenges. His résumé ranges from navigating C-130 aircraft to advising defense officials on budgetary matters. Milne even knows how to snag containers of film ejected from a satellite at 10,000 feet. “It’s like reeling in a 1,000-pound fish,” he says of this mid-air maneuver.

Milne graduated from the Air Force Academy with a degree in aeronautical engineering in 1967 and began his career as a navigator. He flew 5,000 hours, 1,000 of which were combat hours over the Ho Chi Min Trail, for which he received the Distinguished Flying Cross.

Over the years he moved into planning and operations. After earning a master’s degree in operations research from the Air Force Institute of Technology, he developed a large-scale stochastic simulation model that determined the airlift resources required to accomplish Desert Storm and served on the team that selected the C-17. He next went to war college, then worked in finance and management within the office of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

He used his skills of persuasion to convince Admiral William J. Crowe, then chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to inform Congress that the Navy didn’t need another aircraft carrier added to the defense budget.

Milne landed in St. Louis in 1991 as deputy director of the Defense Mapping Agency Aerospace Center, where he supported 3,000 employees as they shifted map-making operations into the digital age.

Always open to a challenge, Pete Milne recently climbed Devils Tower in Wyoming.
By 1995 Milne, who had never been in the same job for more than four years, was ready to settle down at Washington University School of Law. He enjoys being part of the educational enterprise. His commitment includes a student scholarship he and his wife, Debbie, established.

“I love my job; I love the people,” he says. “The only thing I enjoy more is time with my wife and visiting my son and grandchildren in California.”

Although he doesn’t fly anymore, Milne still “goes for the gusto,” climbing the nearly vertical Devils Tower a few years ago; traveling with his wife to Scotland for a music festival; enjoying music, from opera to the blues; watching the Rams; and playing golf and racquetball.

NEW ADMINISTRATORS

Elaine Bourne is serving as associate dean of career services. She succeeds Tomesa Mayer Mersmann, who was named to the new position of associate dean for strategic planning. Bourne served as director of admissions at the University of Maine School of Law and associate director of the Boston University School of Law’s Career Development Office. She also has worked in private practice and nontraditional law positions. She received her JD from the University of Pittsburgh.

Troy DeArmitt was hired as the research technologist for the new Center for Empirical Research in the Law (CERL). He is charged with designing, developing, and implementing CERL’s research-related data systems. DeArmitt oversees the technological needs that such complex data collections require, thus allowing center-affiliated faculty to focus on their research initiatives. He previously worked as senior web designer for the home mortgage division of US Bank. He earned his bachelor’s degree in English from the University of North Carolina–Charlotte.

Peter Goode is the environmental engineer for the Interdisciplinary Environmental Clinic. He previously worked at the Missouri Department of Natural Resources as chief of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permits and Engineering Section for the Water Protection Program. A lecturer in law, Goode received his bachelor’s degree in chemical engineering from the University of Missouri–Columbia.

Edward “Ted” Heisel is the new clinic attorney for the Interdisciplinary Environmental Clinic. Previously executive director of the Missouri Coalition for the Environment, he brings a wealth of experience from private practice and public interest settings. As a lecturer in law, Heisel also is teaching Natural Resources Law. He received his JD from Harvard University.

Michael A. Peil is serving in the new position of assistant dean for international programs. His duties include strategic planning for the International and Comparative Law Programs and oversight for the study abroad, visiting scholars, and international summer programs. A lecturer in law, he previously was executive director of the International Law Students Association in Chicago, including administration of the Philip C. Jessup International Law Moot Court Competition. He received his JD from Cornell University.

Patricia Rolfe has been named to the new position of facilities and events manager. In addition to overseeing the day-to-day facilities operations of Anheuser-Busch Hall, she serves as project liaison for the new Social Sciences and Law Building and for renovations to Anheuser-Busch Hall. Rolfe also runs the newly created Facilities Office, which includes Jeanne Heil-Chapdelaine, assistant events manager; Tim Heydt, facilities coordinator; and Jeanetta Nixon, facilities coordinator. She previously served as director of operations for the Olin School of Business.

Carol Vizzier has been named director of career services and public interest. She has an extensive litigation and public interest background through her staff attorney work with the Gay Men’s Health Crisis, the MFY Legal Services Mental Health Law Project, and the Bronx AIDS Services. She also served as assistant director for public service at the law firm of Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy and was an assistant dean at the University of Maine School of Law. She received her JD from Cornell University.
Polsinelli Takes the Lead, Issuing the First Firm Challenge

OLSINELLI SHALTON FLANIGAN & SUELTHAUS, a Midwest-based law firm with a national reach, has initiated the first law firm challenge in support of Washington University School of Law. Helmut Starr, JD ’85, managing partner of the firm’s St. Louis office, has collected gifts from all 22 Washington University law alumni in his office. Polsinelli now challenges all Washington University law alumni working at other firms to match its success in obtaining 100 percent participation from its alumni members.

In spring 2006, Polsinelli sought a way to expand its relationship with the law school and become more visible within the student body. Many options—from sponsoring individual student activities to naming parts of the building—were considered. In the end, Polsinelli decided that the unrestricted Annual Fund was the one depository of support that benefits each individual at the School. In collecting gifts from all alumni at their firm, the firm wanted to serve as an example to other firms and students while also conveying to students the importance of giving back to their alma mater.

Gina Sholtis, director of alumni and development for Washington University School of Law, says, “Polsinelli is stepping up at a critical time for the law school as the percentage of our alumni who are giving is holding at roughly 22 percent—lower than many of our peer institutions. It takes roughly 115 alumni making a gift for the first time to increase our percentage rate approximately 1 percent.

“In the short term, if even half of the local law firms respond, this challenge has the potential to boost us into the ranks of the law schools in the high 20s,” she continues. “In the long term, if the students see this example and understand the dedication and support of alumni, then we have set a cultural norm. The potential for Washington University School of Law is exciting to contemplate.”

To participate in the challenge, a law firm must have at least five alumni members and one alumnus or alumna who is willing to serve as the firm agent spearheading the effort and reporting progress.

For additional information or to request a law firm challenge “Getting Started” packet, contact Pat Luce, director of the Law Annual Fund, at (314) 935-7381.

HOW WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY LAW COMPARES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>Percentage of Alumni Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case Western Reserve University</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgetown University</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington University</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern University</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanderbilt University</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornell University</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke University</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Michigan</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Virginia</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PERCENTAGE OF ALUMNI CONTRIBUTING TO THEIR LAW SCHOOLS
Alumnus Helps Guide Student’s Career Path

For law student Sidhardha Kamaraju, an on-campus recruitment interview with Chris Boehning, JD ’94, a partner in the litigation department at New York-based Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP, changed his career plans.

SIDHARDAHKAMARAJU HAD CONSIDERED PURSUING A CAREER in public interest law, but followed a professor’s advice to also check out corporate law firms.

“Chris and I spoke for 20 minutes, and what started out as an interview turned into a conversation,” recalls Kamaraju, who had completed an internship with the American Civil Liberties Union. “Chris was very heartfelt when he talked about his firm’s pro bono work.”

That conversation led to Kamaraju’s summer internship at Paul Weiss. And having earned his JD in May 2006, Kamaraju began working as an associate with the firm in fall 2006.

“My summer experience at Paul Weiss was molded by Chris, so that I knew it was something that I could do,” Kamaraju says. “Without having people like that, you can put blinders on. Chris involved me in his work very early on in the summer internship program. I witnessed depositions, and he explained cases. It’s easy to think of corporate law as dry when, in reality, it’s the practice of intellectual games. That gets you excited.”

Boehning has helped to place 8–10 School of Law graduates with Paul Weiss and serves as a mentor for Washington University students evaluating other opportunities in New York. His own experience in navigating the intense New York job market inspired him to help his fellow graduates, he says.

He grew up in upstate New York and wanted to work in New York City. At that time, fewer Washington University graduates were going to large New York firms, Boehning recalls.

Since joining Paul Weiss, he has worked hard to recruit Washington University students. Many graduates now begin practice in New York largely through Boehning’s efforts, and a large concentration is at his firm.

According to Boehning, the New York legal market “continues to be very strong, and Washington University has a great reputation.”

He advises graduates to explore several areas before deciding upon a career: “Students should keep an open mind. What you think a certain area of the law is like and what it is in the real world can be very different.”

“Students should keep an open mind. What you think a certain area of the law is like and what it is in the real world can be very different.”

CHRIS BOEHNING
1935

Christian Peper received the St. Louis Bar Foundation’s award for service to the St. Louis community and legal profession. In 1941, Peper co-founded Martin Peper & Martin, now known as Blackwell Sanders Peper Martin LLP.

1949

Judge William H. Webster has been named chair of the Homeland Security Advisory Council. The council provides advice and recommendations to Secretary Michael Chertoff on homeland security issues; it is comprised of experts from state and local governments, first “prevention” and responder communities, academia, and the private sector. Webster is a consulting partner in the Washington, D.C., office of Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP.

1952

John R. Barsanti, Jr. was recently elected to serve as chairman of the Board of Directors for St. Andrews Resources for Seniors in St. Louis. He will serve a two-year term. Barsanti is a senior partner and former counsel at Armstrong Teasdale LLP.

1958

Arthur Margulis received the St. Louis County Bar Association’s Dudley C. Dunlop Distinguished Service Award. The award is given annually for “distinguished and unselfish service to the organized bar and community.” Margulis is a member of the St. Louis-based firm of Margulis Grant & Margulis PC, which specializes in criminal law.

1967

After 20 years of service, Judge Walter A. Murray retired from the bench at the end of 2006. Murray was an associate circuit judge, Division 5, 20th Circuit, for the state of Missouri.

1969

Maury B. Poscover, a member of Husch & Eppenberger LLC in St. Louis, has been appointed by the American Law Institute to the newly formed Board of Directors for ALI-ABA Continuing Professional Education.

1970

James J. Brown (LLM) received the Ralph A. Marsicano Award from the City, County, and Local Government Law Section of the Florida Bar. The award recognizes outstanding contributions to local government law. Brown is the Attorneys’ Title Insurance Fund Professor of Law at Stetson University College of Law.

David Eisenberg, who recently retired from the United States Department of Justice after more than 28 years of service, has started his own practice in the defense of white collar cases. Based in Phoenix, Arizona, he also conducts internal investigations for corporations and other organizations. Eisenberg spent 23 years as an assistant United States attorney, investigating, trying, and handling the appeals of all types of federal prosecutions, with a concentration in white collar crime. Additionally, he served two years as an associate independent counsel, prosecuting conflict of interest and obstruction of justice cases for the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. He also served as a prosecutor in the Antitrust Division and as a trial attorney in the Civil Division. He taught trial practice and white collar crime courses for several semesters at Arizona State University’s College of Law.
1973

**Judge Richard Teitelman**, Supreme Court of Missouri, was elected to the Executive Board of the American Judicature Society and nominated to the American Bar Association’s Appellate Judicial Network Steering Committee. He received the St. Louis County Historical Society’s Henry Sutton Award and the University of Missouri–Columbia School of Law’s Distinguished Non-alumni Award.

1975

**Harry Joe** was appointed by Dallas Mayor Laura Miller as chairman of the Judicial Nominating Commission. The 16-member commission evaluates and recommends candidates for the city’s municipal courts. Joe is a shareholder at Jenkins & Gilchrist in Dallas. His primary practice includes securing nonimmigrant visas, immigrant visas, alien employment certifications, permits to re-enter the United States, naturalization, and other related immigration and nationality legal matters. His clients mainly are alien individuals and alien professionals and executives of domestic and international companies.

**John W. Kozyak** received the Florida Bar’s G. Kirk Haas Award for promoting diversity in the legal profession. Among his achievements, he was cited for his exemplary work in establishing mentoring programs for black law students. Kozyak is a founding partner of Kozyak Tropin & Throckmorton PA.

**Donald G. Tye** of Newton, Massachusetts, has become president of the Massachusetts Chapter of the American Bar Association’s Appellate Judicial Network. He is a partner in the Domestic Relations Practice Group at the firm of Prince, Lobel, Glovsky & Tye LLP. Tye is a frequent lecturer and author of numerous articles on family law issues. He was selected a Massachusetts Super Lawyer in the field of family law by Boston and Law & Politics magazines.

1977

**Steven C. Roberts** has been appointed to Pulaski Financial Corporation’s Board of Directors. Roberts is a co-founder of St. Louis-based Roberts-Roberts & Associates, a minority-owned business consulting and construction management firm.

1978

**Pamela Bucy** received the University of Alabama’s Burnum Distinguished Faculty Award. One of the university’s highest honors, the award recognizes her dedication to the law and legal education. Bucy is the Frank M. Bainbridge Professor of Law and has been a member of the UA faculty since 1987. Her areas of research and expertise include criminal law, white collar crime, and health care fraud.

**Richard Burke** was selected an Illinois Super Lawyer for 2006 by Law & Politics. The award is given to the top 5 percent of practicing attorneys, following a rigorous selection process. A member of the Lakin Law Firm PC in Wood River, Illinois, he specializes in class actions, medical malpractice, personal injury, products liability, negligence, and federal criminal law.

**Joseph B. Pereles**, vice president of development and general counsel for Drury Inns Inc. in St. Louis, was elected to the National Board of Governors of the American Red Cross.

**Patricia N. Snyder** has joined the Transportation Practice Group in the Washington, D.C., office of Troutman Sanders LLP. Formerly a partner with Thompson Coburn LLP in St. Louis, she has counseled major direct air, ocean, and other surface carriers; port authorities; and indirect carriers, such as tour operators and freight forwarders. She previously worked in the Office of International Law at the United States Department of Transportation and was an attorney for the Civil Aeronautics Board. She is a member of the District of Columbia Bar Association, the International Aviation Club, and the Aero Club of Washington.

1979

**Dean P. Arthur**, a partner at Curtin & Heefner LLP in Bucks County, Pennsylvania, and president of the Bucks County Bar Association, led a delegation from the Bucks County Bar to Waveland, Mississippi, last October to continue rebuilding in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina.

**Paul R. DeMuro**, a partner at Latham & Watkins LLP in San Francisco and Los Angeles, has been named chair-elect of the American Bar Association’s Health Law Section. He also serves on the Finance Committee of the Section Officers Conference (SOC) and on the SOC Working Group on International Outreach.

**Judge Donald L. Kohl** is serving as the municipal judge for the City of St. Peters. He recently attended the annual conference of the Missouri Municipal and Associate Circuit Judges Association. Kohl, a partner in the St. Charles, Missouri, law firm of Shea, Kohl, Alessi & O’Donnell LC, specializes in personal injury, workers compensation, and Social Security disability law.

**Victoria S. Sheehan** has been appointed to the Clayton Regional Board of Midwest BankCentre. She is president of Sheehan Investment Services Inc. and Mount Carmel Communities.

1980

**Henry T. Herschel**, chief counsel for the Missouri Office of Administration, was recently appointed to the Missouri State Public Employees Deferred Compensation Commission.

**Robin Talbert** has been appointed executive director of the AARP Foundation in Washington, D.C. She has held increasingly important positions at AARP, including her recent tenure as interim director. A former legal aid attorney, she has worked for years as a volunteer mediator with the District of Columbia Superior Court. She is also involved with philanthropic groups, such as the Independent Sector, the Association Foundation Group, and Grantmakers in Aging.
David Taylor has been named a 2006 Presidential Teaching Professor at Northern Illinois University in DeKalb, Illinois. A member of the NIU law faculty since 1992, he teaches Civil Procedure, Evidence, Lawyering Skills, and Trial Advocacy. Taylor also serves as the NIU College of Law’s director of skills training, coaches extramural teams, and works with students in the Zeke Giorgi Legal Clinic in Rockford.

Tim Thornton has been elected president of Greensfelder, Hemker & Gale PC in St. Louis. Thornton, who joined the firm in 1981, has served as manager of its national Construction Law Practice Group for 15 years and as a member of the firm’s Board of Directors since 2003.

1982
Michele H. Altieri is serving as a deputy staff judge advocate in the United States Army. Based in Baghdad, she handles contracting issues, coordinates end-user certificates, and works with the Iraqi ministries on pay and hiring issues. This is her fifth deployment with the coalition forces.

Carolyn L. Greenberg married Uwe Schwersky on December 31, 2005, in Cambridge, Massachusetts. Celebrating with the couple were the following law alumni: her sister-in-law, Ellen Berdy Greenberg, JD ’87; Mary Anne Mellow, JD ’82; Steve Daniels, JD ’82; and Judge Rodney Sippel, JD ’81, who performed the service. The couple is living in Berlin, Germany, and would love to be contacted by any alumni working in or visiting that city. Greenberg can be reached at carygr4@yahoo.com.

Mary Anne Mellow, a shareholder at the law firm of Sandberg, Phoenix & von Gontard PC in St. Louis, has been named a member of the International Association of Defense Counsel.

1983
Cathy Gilbert Kelly has been named deputy director of the Missouri State Public Defender System. Kelly will continue to serve as director of training in addition to her new responsibilities, which include serving as communications coordinator for the state agency and working to raise public and legislative awareness about Missouri’s public defender system. Kelly resides in St. Louis with her husband, Michael, a computer programmer for the United States Postal Data Center, and their two daughters, Caitlin, 17, and Kristin, 15.

Kevin M. Moss was promoted to intellectual property counsel at Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP in New York City. Moss focuses on technology-, Internet-, and software-related transactions and licensing; outsourcing; trademark law; copyright law; and intellectual property counseling.

Judge Daniel Schmidt served a one-year term as presiding justice of the Appellate Court of Illinois, Third District. Before becoming a judge, Schmidt spent 20 years as a trial lawyer.

1984
Leonard Chanin was appointed associate director of the Division of Consumer and Community Affairs at the Federal Reserve Board in Washington, D.C. He previously was associated with the law firm of Morrison and Foerster LLP.

Kevin D. Gordon was appointed by Oklahoma Governor Brad Henry to serve on the steering committee for that state’s Health Information Security and Privacy Collaboration. The federally funded project is designed to assess how organizational business policies, practices, and state laws regarding privacy and security affect the exchange of health information nationally.

A shareholder and director at Crowe & Dunlevy PC, Gordon chairs the firm’s Health Care Litigation Group and co-chairs its Insurance Practice Group.

Linda Shapiro, a partner in Thompson Coburn LLP’s government contracts practice, was named one of the 2006 Most Influential Business Women by the St. Louis Business Journal. The award recognizes 26 remarkable women who excel in careers in the business and nonprofit arenas.
James Dimos has been named to the Management Committee of the Indianapolis-based firm of Locke Reynolds LLP. Dimos’ practice involves litigation in the areas of intellectual property, business, and construction disputes, as well as administrative and regulatory dealings with federal, state, and local governments. He is a fellow of the Indianapolis Bar Foundation, Indiana State Bar Foundation, and American Bar Foundation, and he is a member of the ABAs Young Lawyers Division. In 2004, Indiana Governor Ernie Fletcher commissioned him a Kentucky colonel, the state’s highest honor for service.

Richard Hunsaker is a contributing author to the Illinois Institute of Continuing Legal Education’s 2006 edition of Medical Malpractice. He co-authored a chapter on claim evaluation with attorney Mike Clancy of St. Charles, Illinois. Since 2002, Hunsaker has been practicing in the Edwardsville, Illinois, office of Heyl, Royster, Voelker & Allen, where he handles the defense of medical malpractice cases.

John Butrus is a founding partner of a new technology law boutique, Davis Munck Butrus PC, with offices in Dallas, Texas, and Charlotte, North Carolina. The new firm combines the trial, transaction, and technology law firm of Davis Munck PC with Butrus Khoshbin Wilson Vogt LLP, a litigation firm that focused on large-scale commercial disputes. Butrus specializes in litigation, trial practice, and appellate practice.

Steven Groth recently received the Dr. John Morton Finney, Jr. Award for Excellence in Legal Education from the Litigation Section of the Indianapolis Bar Association. The award recognizes his work on the section’s CLE series; in 2007, he is chairing the section’s Executive Committee. Groth is a partner at the Indianapolis-based firm of Bose McKinney & Evans LLP. Practicing in the Litigation Group, Groth joined the firm in 1999. He concentrates in the areas of insurance defense and commercial litigation.

Jane E. Fedder has joined the Environmental & Regulatory Practice Group at Husch & Eppenberger LLC in St. Louis. She represents clients in environmental insurance litigation; in cost recovery, contribution, and government enforcement actions, and in citizen lawsuits. She has presented oral arguments in the United States Seventh and Eighth Circuit Courts of Appeal. Fedder also coordinates the firm’s pro bono work. The Supreme Court of Missouri appointed her to the Regional Disciplinary Committee.

Melissa Wood, deputy senior staff counsel for the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, is the president and a co-founder of the National Association of Appellate Court Attorneys, a nonprofit organization devoted to the education and professional growth of attorneys employed by state and federal appellate courts. For more information, contact Wood at mwood@richmond.edu, or visit the website at http://law.richmond.edu/NAACA.

Randi V. Morrison was promoted to senior vice president, general counsel, and secretary of CSK Auto Corporation, one of the largest retailers of automotive parts and accessories in the United States, based on store count. Since joining CSK in March 1997, he has served in various positions in the legal department, most recently vice president, general counsel, and secretary. Phoenix-based CSK is publicly traded on the NYSE and operates more than 1,300 stores in 22 states, under the brand names Checker, Schnuck’s, Kragen, and Murray’s.

Mat Madison Turner has joined Northern Trust Bank in St. Louis as a wealth strategist, including working as a consultant in trust and investment management services. He previously was a principal with Danna McKitrick PC, where he focused on estate and probate administration, business succession planning, and corporate law. He also has served as an officer and board member for many community hospitals and nonprofit organizations. Turner; his wife, Maria; and their three sons live in University City.

Clifford R. Perry III has been named an Illinois Superior Lawyer. He is a partner at Laner, Muchin, Dombrow, Becker, Levin & Tominberg LTD in Chicago, where he specializes in labor and employment law.

Bruce Galloway has been elected to the Board of Directors for the Missouri Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers. Galloway’s law office is in Ozark, Missouri, and his practice focuses on litigation in the areas of criminal defense and family law. He lives in Ozark with his wife, Melissa, who is also an attorney and works with him in his office. Galloway encourages any former classmates who want to catch up to call him at 417-863-1200.

John R. Haug has joined Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP in St. Louis as a partner in the firm’s national construction law practice. He focuses on both transactional and dispute resolution aspects of construction, as well as architectural, engineering, and development law. Haug has represented a number of public and private owners, contractors, and material suppliers on significant, complex construction projects, including the Westin Cupples Station historic renovation, the Ameristar Casino Complex, the Homer G. Phillips Hospital renovation, the St. Louis Enclave at WingHaven, and the St. Louis Brewery Apartments. He is a member of the American Bar Association’s Forum on the Construction Industry.

Deborah K. Rush, a partner in the Corporate Practice Group at Thompson Coburn LLP in St. Louis, was elected to the firm’s Management Committee. Rush concentrates in the area of public finance. Her practice includes structuring financing for a wide range of projects, including stadium and convention, education, health care, and manufacturing facilities, as well as civic and charitable organizations. She represents clients in transaction, tax increment financing, tax abatement, transportation, community improvement, and other special taxing districts, as well as in job training and state and federal tax credits.
1992

Monica Allen has been named assistant vice chancellor and senior counsel at Washington University in St. Louis.

Kristy Runk Bryan has joined Husch & Eppenberger LLC’s Springfield office. She is of counsel in the firm’s Business Transactions Group. Bryan represents small businesses, corporations, and limited liability companies in various stages of organization, and provides assistance in drafting buy/sell agreements, succession planning, and employment agreements. Bryan continues to serve as general counsel and secretary of Directory Distributing Associates Inc.

Christopher P. Perzan has founded a new Chicago-based firm concentrating on environmental law, including the redevelopment of contaminated real estate. He was formerly an Illinois assistant attorney general in the Environmental Bureau and was also an attorney with the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. The firm’s web site is www.brownfieldcounsel.com.

Eric Riess has been named manager of the Corporate Law Practice Group at Greensfelder Hemker & Gale PC in St. Louis. A certified public accountant, Riess concentrates his practice in the areas of franchise, distribution, and real estate law.

Jonathan Rolbin has volunteered for the United States Peace Corps and moved to Romania in May 2006. He will be working in institutional development and will serve as an NGO developer.

1993

John F. Kuenstler has joined Barnes & Thornburg LLP as a partner in the firm’s Chicago office. He practices in the Labor & Employment Law Department. Kuenstler counsels clients on a full range of employment and business matters. He has experience litigating and managing class actions. He also has assisted clients in various successful corporate restructurings, mergers, and acquisitions, as well as in defense of investigations by regulatory agencies.

Spencer Thomson, a partner in the Real Estate Department at Blackwell Sanders Peper Martin LLP in Kansas City, Missouri, was named by Missouri Governor Matt Blunt to the Eminent Domain Task Force.

1994

Tiffany Strelow Cobb has been named a partner at Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease LLP in Columbus, Ohio. Cobb routinely represents unsecured creditors, secured creditors, trustees, and creditors’ committees in Chapter 11 reorganization cases and Chapter 7 cases, as well as in related litigation in state and federal courts.

1995

Brent A. Hannafan has been appointed an assistant United States attorney for the Middle District of Tennessee. He previously was an associate at Dechert LLP in New York and at Baker & McKenzie in Chicago and New York. Hannafan has experience in commercial, securities, products liability, and insurance coverage litigation and has appeared thousands of times in state and federal courts, including the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.

Christopher J. Nelson has joined the firm of Butzel Long in Bloomfield Hills, Michigan, as a senior attorney. He concentrates his practice in the area of business and commercial litigation.

Irwin Raij has been elected a partner at Foley & Lardner LLP in Washington, D.C. He specializes in regulatory, government, sports, and real estate Law.

Kathryn Van Voorhees has joined the firm of Paule, Camazine & Blumenthal PC in St. Louis. She concentrates her practice in the areas of insurance defense, personal injury, public entity defense, and employment discrimination.

1996

Martha “Marty” N. Hereford has joined the St. Louis-based firm of Armstrong Teasdale LLP as a partner. She is a member of the firm’s International Law Practice Group. Hereford concentrates in the areas of immigration and commercial transactions. She has extensive experience in assisting corporate clients in obtaining a variety of...
nonimmigrant visas and United States permanent residence status for their employees. She also counsels employers on 1–9 compliance issues. In addition, she has experience in employment law and assists corporate clients in matters involving discrimination based on a national origin and citizenship.

Michael Suchman legally married Dr. Ethan Ciment in Toronto, Canada, on June 17, 2006. They held a ceremony in New York for friends and family in August 2006. Suchman is a staff attorney at Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton LLP in Manhattan.

Tobin J. Taylor has become a partner at Heyl, Royster, Voelcker & Allen PC in the firm’s Peoria, Illinois, office. A trial attorney, he concentrates his practice in the defense of asbestos and toxic tort claims arising from environmental and occupational exposures. Taylor began his career at the firm as a clerk during law school and joined the Peoria office after graduation.

1997

Tiffany Baldwin and her husband, Lew Thomasino, announce the birth of their daughter, Gemma Baldwin Thomasino, on October 15, 2006. Gemma joins big brother, Silas. Baldwin and her family live in Fairview Heights, Illinois. After more than five years of solo practice, she has joined the Immigration Practice Group at Greenfelder Hemker & Gale PC.

Nimrod Chapel, Jr. (LLM) has been named director of the Missouri Department of Labor and Industrial Relations.

Max R. Delsoin was elected as an “at-large” representative to the Los Angeles Mid-City West Community Council. He serves on the Land Use Committee. The district covers a population of nearly 60,000 residents on the west side of Los Angeles and includes landmarks such as the L.A. Farmers Market, La Brea Tar Pits, and CBS Television City.

1998

Deborah S. Davidson has joined the Chicago office of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP. She specializes in complex employment and employee benefits litigation.

Tanuja Garde holds a joint faculty position at the University of London’s Queen Mary Intellectual Property Research Institute and at the Max Planck Institute for Intellectual Property, Competition and Tax Law. She is working on a book with Professor Strauss of the Max Planck Institute and Professor Goldstein of Stanford University. In addition, Garde teaches in the LLM Program at the University of Alicante in Spain. She was recently invited to teach a patents class at Cambridge University.

1999

Cynthia Chandler-Snell and her husband, Jason Snell, announce the birth of their son, David Joseph Snell, born July 20, 2005. David joins his big brother, Tanner Snell. Chandler-Snell recently left her position as in-house counsel with FedEx Ground in Pittsburgh to return with her family to her hometown of Humboldt, Tennessee. She is now a partner in her mother’s law practice, Hoback Law Firm PC, and focuses on criminal defense and family law.

John C. Crossley has been named a partner at Blackwell Sanders Peper Martin LLP in Kansas City, Missouri. He joined the Real Estate Department in 1999 and has counseled clients on numerous major commercial redevelopment projects, involving tax increment financing, transportation development districts, community improvement districts, Chapter 353 Urban Redevelopment Corporations and Chapter 100 Bonds, and various other forms of state and local development incentives in Missouri and Kansas. He has successfully closed millions of dollars of commercial real estate purchase, sale, and loan transactions and represented national retailers on a variety of local land use matters.

2000

D. Scott Casanover has been named general counsel/chief legal officer of MAC Meetings and Events, a St. Louis-based company. This creative agency specializes in the
management and production of meetings, events, destination management, and mobile marketing.

Emily Cohen has joined the St. Louis office of Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP.

Jovita M. Foster was appointed by Missouri Governor Matt Blunt to serve on the Missouri Credit Union Commission. She is serving a four-year term ending January 1, 2009. She previously served on the Missouri Organization of Defense Lawyers’ Executive Committee. A senior associate in the St. Louis office of Armstrong Teasdale LLP, she specializes in the areas of business and commercial litigation.

Amy B. Meyers has joined Sandberg, Phoenix & von Gontard PC in St. Louis as an associate. She concentrates her practice in the health law/medical malpractice area.

Laurel J. Tinsley (JD ’00, Tax LLM ’05) has joined Husch & Eppenberger LLC in St. Louis as an associate in the Land Use Development and Financing Practice Group. Tinsley concentrates her practice in a variety of federal, state, and local tax matters.

2001

Charles Livingston is a member of the Intellectual Property Practice Group at the St. Louis firm of Armstrong Teasdale LLP, where he concentrates his practice in the areas of patent, trademark, and intellectual property. He also has extensive experience in both preparation and prosecution of mechanical, electrical, electro-mechanical, business method, and computer-related patents. Livingston is licensed to practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Nathan S. Merrill and his wife, Heather, announce the birth of their third child, Courtney Grace Merrill, on June 28, 2006. Courtney was welcomed home by her two older brothers, Chase, 5, and Avery, 3. The family resides in Westminster, Colorado.

Edward M. Shin is currently a litigation associate at Greenberg Traurig LLP in Chicago.

Mikah Dixon Story was recently hired as an associate professor at the University of Missouri–Kansas City School of Law. Her course load includes Torts, Evidence, Environmental & Toxic Torts, and Race & the Law.

Jill Berger Velt was married on October 15, 2005, to Geoffrey Velt and is currently living in Springfield, Virginia. She opened her own firm, Velt Immigration Law Firm PLLC, in May 2006, solely practicing immigration law.

2002

Jake P. DeBoever was married in Chicago in May 2006. He and his wife, Lisa, are relocating to the Dallas–Fort Worth, Texas, area. DeBoever left Baker & McKenzie LLP in Chicago to become an associate general attorney at Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway in Fort Worth, Texas.

Nicholas J. Garzia has joined Armstrong Teasdale LLP as an associate. He is a member of the firm’s Business Services Department and Construction Law and Real Estate Practice Groups. He focuses on real estate and construction law with an emphasis in the preparation, review, and negotiation of construction contracts. Garzia also represented clients involved in commercial litigation, both at the state and federal levels, as well as creditors in bankruptcy proceedings.

Amanda K. Grace joined the Chicago office of Greenberg Traurig LLP as an associate in the Litigation Department. She previously worked at a law firm in Washington, D.C., where she specialized in franchise litigation and employment discrimination litigation.

Tiffany B. Kieffer has joined Paule, Camazine & Blumenthal PC in St. Louis, as an associate. She practices in the areas of insurance public entity defense, employment discrimination, and civil and commercial litigation. She previously worked for the Missouri Attorney General’s Office.

Emily Maki-Rusk and Simon Rusk announce the birth of their daughter, Stella Miriam Rusk, on May 6, 2005. The couple ended the Chicago chapter of their lives by moving to Michigan and becoming residents of St. Joseph. Maki-Rusk practices general litigation at Hunt Svedhoff Kalamaors. The family can be reached at 1656 Karen Court, St. Joseph, Michigan 49085, 269-429-1624, maki-rusk@ameritech.net.

Shawntell P. Mullins, a captain in the United States Air Force, has become the area defense counsel at Hanscom Air Force Base in Massachusetts. She was previously assigned to the 66th Air Base Wing, Staff Judge Advocate’s Office at Hanscom AFB, where she was the chief of adverse actions, claims, and civil law; a prosecutor for the government; and a special assistant United States attorney. Mullins now practices in criminal defense work, representing Air Force members accused of violations of the Uniform Code of Military Justice in courts-martial and other adverse actions. She was honored as the Company Grade Officer of the Quarter for the 66th Air Base Wing from January to March 2004. Mullins has been an active duty member of the Air Force Judge Advocate General Corps since August 2003.

Jennifer L. Neumann joined Foley & Lardner LLP’s Detroit, Michigan, office, where she practices labor and employment law.


David L. Orwick recently joined McBride & Son Homes Inc. as associate general counsel. Orwick concentrates in real estate acquisition and development for McBride’s operations in St. Louis, Kansas City, Louisville, and Atlanta. He previously practiced with Thompson Coburn LLP in St. Louis.

Joel E. Richardson and his wife, Alice Sim, welcomed new baby Michael Sim Richardson into their lives on February 11, 2006.

Susan E. Roberto (IP LLM ’02, Tax LLM ’03) is an associate in the Corporate, Business and Tax Department at Tydings & Rosenberg LLP in Baltimore, Maryland. She was elected to the Board of Directors of Civic Works, a nonprofit service corps. The organization provides critical community services throughout Baltimore, while striving to foster individual development in its young adult members through team-based service projects and weekly academic enrichment.
Aaron M. Smith has joined the Real Estate Practice Group of McShane & Bowie in Grand Rapids, Michigan. His areas of practice include commercial and residential real estate development, construction contracts and liens, leasing, and zoning and land use law. Smith serves as the secretary of the Grand Rapids Bar Association's Young Lawyers Section. He also is on the state board of the Michigan Mountain Biking Association.

Peter Zura (LLM ’02) was elected a partner at Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLP in Chicago. A member of the Intellectual Property Group, he has extensive experience in patent issues, including litigation, prosecution, licensing, due diligence, and counseling. His focus includes electrical and computer technologies, such as computer systems, semiconductor circuitry and fabrication, satellite systems, telecommunications, and e-commerce. He previously worked as a patent examiner at the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

2003

Marc Alifanz married Tracy Manaster Alifanz (who formerly worked in the School of Law’s Admissions Office) on June 25, 2005. The couple relocated to Portland, Oregon, where he has joined the Labor & Employment Group of Stoel Rives LLP.

Fatema Fallahnejad Burkey gave birth to a second son, Silas Russell Burkey, on November 1, 2006. Silas; his parents, Adam and Fatema; and his brother Jasper reside in Gladwyne, Pennsylvania.

Jamica Dowell (LLM) was selected to the St. Louis Business Journal’s 30 Under 30 Class of 2006. She is an associate in the Employee Benefits and Executive Compensation Group of the Business Law Department at Polsinelli Shalton Flanigan Suelthaus PC in Clayton, Missouri.

Paul R. Fowkes is now the managing member of Korninos & Fowkes Law Group LLC located in Tampa, Florida.

Elliott M. Friedman was named a “Georgia Rising Star” in the area of labor and employment law by Law & Politics magazine. He is an associate in the labor and employment law firm of Elarbee Thompson LLP in Atlanta.

Kate Franke Holland and John Holland celebrated the birth in July 2006 of their daughter, Caroline Sophia Holland. He practices admiralty litigation with Lock Liddell & Sapp, and she is an IP attorney for the Methodist Hospital Research Institute, both in Houston, Texas.

Mark T. Sadaka recently joined the firm of Morelli Ratner PC in Manhattan. He is focusing on pharmaceutical liability/mass torts.

Hillary J. Bean has joined the firm of Armstrong Teasdale LLP in St. Louis as a member of the Corporate Services Department. She focuses her practice in real estate and business services. She previously was an associate in the Finance and Real Estate Group with Dechert LLP in New York, New York. Bean was a summer associate at Armstrong Teasdale.

Doug Burns became engaged to Julie Goran, originally of St. Louis, over Memorial Day Weekend 2006. Doug is entering his third year as a litigation associate at Paul Weiss in New York City. He looks forward to catching up with classmates at the next area event, as well as returning to St. Louis for a 2007 wedding.

Abby Hardwick Clark and Aaron Clark recently moved to Chicago, where he is an associate in Baker & McKenzie’s corporate group. She clerked for the Illinois Appellate Court before joining Jenner & Block LLP in September 2006 as an associate in the Litigation Department.

2004

Jessie Christine Basner joined Porzio, Bromberg & Newman in Morristown, New Jersey, as an associate in the firm’s Bankruptcy and Financial Restructuring Practice Group. Her practice involves the representation of creditors and debtors in commercial litigation and bankruptcy matters.

Jason P. Gross has joined Armstrong Teasdale LLP as an associate. He is a member of the firm’s Intellectual Property Practice Group in the St. Louis office. His practice focuses on the preparation and prosecution of United States and foreign patent applications in the mechanical, biological, and chemical arts, including applications directed to medical devices.


Richard J. Hansford and his wife, Mary Bezy, announce the birth of their first child, Sebastian Thomas, on June 1, 2006. Hansford is working at the federal prison in Chicago.

Clarence Lee and Kelly Morrison Lee have relocated to southern California. A civil litigation associate with Smith Ellison PC in Newport Beach, California, he specializes in environmental insurance coverage. She is with the IRS Office of Chief Counsel in Laguna Niguel, California, arguing before the United States Tax Court on behalf of the federal government.

Melissa G. Nolan practices in the areas of estate planning, probate, and trust administration at Paule, Camazine & Blumenthal PC in St. Louis. She is an associate at the firm.

Roy Prize has started a solo criminal defense practice in Fort Lauderdale, Florida. He also handles immigration and landlord/tenant issues.

Kyle C. Reeb was recently admitted to the New York State Bar. He is an associate with Hodgson Russ LLP in New York, where he works in litigation on business, insurance defense, insurance coverage, intellectual property, and employment discrimination cases, as well as in products liability and toxic torts.

Heather Heath Ryan and Peter J. Ryan have relocated to Charlotte, North Carolina. She is an employee benefits associate at Parker Poe Adams & Bernstein.

Peter J. Ryan has joined Armstrong Teasdale LLP as an associate. He is a member of the firm’s Intellectual Property Practice Group in the St. Louis office. His practice focuses on the preparation and prosecution of United States and foreign patent applications in the mechanical, biological, and chemical arts, including applications directed to medical devices.


Richard J. Hansford and his wife, Mary Bezy, announce the birth of their first child, Sebastian Thomas, on June 1, 2006. Hansford is working at the federal prison in Chicago.

Clarence Lee and Kelly Morrison Lee have relocated to southern California. A civil litigation associate with Smith Ellison PC in Newport Beach, California, he specializes in environmental insurance coverage. She is with the IRS Office of Chief Counsel in Laguna Niguel, California, arguing before the United States Tax Court on behalf of the federal government.

Melissa G. Nolan practices in the areas of estate planning, probate, and trust administration at Paule, Camazine & Blumenthal PC in St. Louis. She is an associate at the firm.

Roy Prize has started a solo criminal defense practice in Fort Lauderdale, Florida. He also handles immigration and landlord/tenant issues.

Kyle C. Reeb was recently admitted to the New York State Bar. He is an associate with Hodgson Russ LLP in New York, where he works in litigation on business, insurance defense, insurance coverage, intellectual property, and employment discrimination cases, as well as in products liability and toxic torts.

Heather Heath Ryan and Peter J. Ryan have relocated to Charlotte, North Carolina. She is an employee benefits associate at Parker Poe Adams & Bernstein.
Jeffrey Schultz has joined Armstrong Teasdale LLP in St. Louis as a member of the firm’s Litigation Department. He specializes in contracts, condemnation, and business litigation.

Jordan Mark Siverd has become a staff attorney at the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit in New Orleans, Louisiana.

2005

Laura (Osterman) Burke and Sean Burke were married September 2, 2006. The couple lives in Hollidaysburg, Pennsylvania. She is a law clerk for a state trial court judge. He is an associate with McQuaide, Blasko, Faulkner, & Fleming, Inc.; his work focuses on business law and real estate law.

Winston E. Calvert has joined Armstrong Teasdale LLP in St. Louis as a member of the firm’s Litigation Department. He focuses his practice in the areas of appellate litigation, business litigation, and government relations. Calvert was a summer associate at the firm.

Christopher Goddard is working as a special assistant United States attorney in St. Louis.

Thomas W. Hayde has joined Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP in St. Louis as an associate in the Litigation Department. He focuses on product liability claims.

Jeanne E. Mishkin has joined the Clayton-based firm of Blumenfeld, Kaplan & Sandweiss PC, as an associate. She is a member of the firm’s Estate Planning Practice Group. Mishkin concentrates her practice in the areas of estate and trust planning, business succession planning, closely held and family business planning, estate and gift tax, probate administration, fiduciary litigation, and trust and estate litigation.

Edward (Ted) Parks Mullin and Jennifer Plaster were married on October 7, 2006, in Banner Elk, North Carolina.

Sarah Schneider and Laura Yates co-chaired the 2005 Class Gift Committee. Other organizers were Gregory Cook, Andrea Ebreck, Victoria Griebel, A.J. Johnson, Jennifer Kissel, J.W. Perry, Sarah Taylor, and Tommy Taylor.

Dan Simpson (LLM ’05) was named a finalist in the AIPLA Robert C. Watson writing competition for his paper about the patenting of immoral technologies.

2006

Yewande Akinwolemiwa has joined the Dallas office of Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP.

Castor J. Armesto has joined Blumenfeld Kaplan & Sandweiss PC in Clayton, Missouri, as an associate in the Mergers and Acquisitions Practice Group. He concentrates in the areas of business formation and development, contract negotiation, and mergers and acquisitions. He lives in south St. Louis with his wife and daughter.

Daniel J. Burke has joined Armstrong Teasdale’s Real Estate Practice Group in St. Louis. He was previously a summer associate with the firm.

Benjamin A. Drabiak recently joined Barnes & Thornburg LLP as an associate in the firm’s Indianapolis office. He is a member of the firm’s Business, Tax, & Real Estate Department. Drabiak concentrates in the areas of general corporate matters, including mergers and acquisitions and entrepreneurial services.

Steven T. Kazmierski and Gregory A. Patterson have joined the St. Louis firm of Thompson Coburn LLP as associates.

Harrison A. Lord is clerking for Judge Richard Elmore on the North Carolina Court of Appeals.

Brian Mackinnon, Justine Margolis, and James Wiley have joined the St. Louis office of Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP.

Beverly Yang chaired the 2006 Class Gift Committee. She is a staff attorney at the Land of Lincoln Legal Assistance Foundation in Alton, Illinois.
EDITIONS of The Best Lawyers in America and/or Who’s Who in American Law included the following alumni in their areas of specialty:

Kenneth W. Bean, JD ’77, Sandberg, Phoenix & von Gontard PC, St. Louis
Mary M. Bonacorsi, JD ’77, Thompson Coburn LLP, St. Louis
Glenn E. Davis, JD ’82, Armstrong Teasdale LLP, St. Louis
Joan Dillon, JD ’66, Joan Dillon Law LLC, Atlanta, Georgia (Also named to The International Who’s Who of Business Lawyers)
Donald B. Dorwart, JD ’74, Thompson Coburn LLP, St. Louis
Jane E. Fedder, JD ’88, Husch & Eppenberger LLC, St. Louis

Diane Felix, JD ’77, Armstrong Teasdale LLP, St. Louis
Charles H. Fendell, JD ’89, Thompson Coburn LLP, St. Louis
Alan E. Freed, JD ’83, Paule, Camazine & Blumenthal PC, St. Louis
David L. Going, JD ’83, Armstrong Teasdale LLP, St. Louis
Frank N. Gundlach, JD ’63, Armstrong Teasdale LLP, St. Louis
John M. Howell, JD ’66, Thompson Coburn LLP, St. Louis
Andrew T. Hoyne, JD ’74, Tax LLM ’82, Armstrong Teasdale LLP, St. Louis
John J. Inkley, Tax LLM ’76, Armstrong Teasdale LLP, St. Louis
Stephen C. Jones, JD ’82, Armstrong Teasdale LLP, St. Louis

Gregory J. Kirsch, JD ’90, Needle & Rosenberg PC, Atlanta (Also listed in Georgia Trend magazine’s “Legal Elite”)
Richard L. Lawton, JD ’84, Thompson Coburn LLP, St. Louis
Fred Leicht, Jr., JD ’62, Armstrong Teasdale LLP, St. Louis
Craig A. Olschansky, JD ’83, Thompson Coburn LLP, St. Louis
David G. Ott, Tax LLM ’88, Armstrong Teasdale LLP, St. Louis
Arthur L. Smith, JD ’71, Husch & Eppenberger LLC, St. Louis (Also Best Lawyer in IP Law and Business and St. Louis Magazine)
John L. Sullivan, JD ’79, Armstrong Teasdale LLP, St. Louis
Harvey M. Tettlebaum, JD ’68, Husch & Eppenberger LLC, Jefferson City
Reunion Celebrations: October 12 & 13, 2007


**FRIDAY, OCTOBER 12**

11 a.m. Back to School with Professor Michael Greenfield (free)

Noon Emeriti Medallion Ceremony and Luncheon

6 p.m. Remarks by Dean Kent Syverud (free)

6:30 p.m. Welcome Back Reception

**SATURDAY, OCTOBER 13**

10:45 a.m. Coffee and Tea (free)

11:15 a.m. CLE: What Future for the Securities Fraud Class Action? (free)

6:30 p.m. Reunion Gala Class Parties

FOR MORE INFORMATION AND RESERVATIONS:
HTTP://LAW.WUSTL.EDU/ALUMNI/REUNIONS/
[In Memoriam]

**ALUMNI**

Robert O. Hetlage, AB ‘52, JD ‘54, a longtime supporter of the School of Law, died July 17, 2006. He was 75. President of the American Bar Foundation, Hetlage was of counsel with Blackwell Sanders Peper Martin LLP in St. Louis. Throughout his career, he served as president or chair of many local and national bar associations and boards, including leadership roles in the fields of real property, probate, and trust law. A recipient of the School of Law’s 2000 Distinguished Alumni Award, he was a former member of the Law Alumni Association Board and a Life Member of the William Greenleaf Eliot Society.

Reuben M. Morriss III, JD ‘64, a generous friend of the School of Law and a past member of its National Council, died January 4, 2006. He was 69. Morriss was the former chair of Boatmen’s Trust Company in St. Louis. At the law school, he served on the cabinet for the Building for a New Century campaign, William Greenleaf Eliot Society Membership Committee, and the Law Alumni Association Board. He was also a member of the University’s Alumni Board of Governors. He and his wife, Barbara, made many gifts to the School, including the naming gift for a classroom and an endowed scholarship. The law school honored him with its Distinguished Alumni Award in 2000.

**FACULTY**

Charles Ray Haworth, who served as a professor at the School of Law 1971–79, died on November 22, 2005, in Dallas, Texas, at the age of 62. Between 1995 and 2005, he practiced law at Owens, Clary & Aiken LLP in Dallas, specializing in general civil litigation. He published widely on appellate practice and civil litigation.

William Catron Jones, the Charles F. Nagel Professor Emeritus of International and Comparative Law, died September 16, 2005. He was 79. Jones was internationally acclaimed for his groundbreaking work in Chinese and comparative law, including his translations of the last major imperial Chinese legal code, The Great Qing Code, and the first precursor of the civil code of the People’s Republic of China, The General Principles of the Civil Law. During his 40-year tenure at the law school, he served as acting dean, a visiting professor or scholar at universities around the world, and a Fulbright lecturer at Wu Han University in China.

Frank W. Miller, the James Carr Professor Emeritus of Criminal Jurisprudence, died March 11, 2006. He was 84. A member of the law school faculty for more than 40 years, Miller was known for his love of teaching and for the breadth of his scholarship in criminal and tort law. He received the 1991 Alumni Distinguished Teaching Award and the 1965 Founders Day Faculty Award. Miller published many books, including Prosecution: The Decision to Charge a Suspect with a Crime and The Test of Factual Causation in Negligence and Strict Liability Cases. His co-authored casebook, Cases and Materials on Criminal Justice Administration and Related Processes, originally published in 1971, was ever expanding to encompass a growing body of related law.
Who Won?

What the Supreme Court eBay Decision Means for Innovation

Patent cases like eBay and Blackberry recently triggered an outcry over “patent trolls”—who supposedly ambush business with junk patents. The Supreme Court of the United States responded by speaking to the longstanding principle under patent law that a patentee may get a permanent injunction once patent validity and infringement have been adjudicated.

Unfortunately, besides flagging the two outer limits—no “general rule” in favor of injunctions nor any “broad swath of cases” exempt from them—the Court said little other than that the four-factor general test for injunctions should be applied. The effect of this watershed case on innovation turns on how closely the courts adhere to the status quo.

Negotiating against the backdrop of an injunction may seem like having a gun to one’s head, especially when the patentee is not practicing the invention. But just imagine a rule that allowed me, anytime I notice you are not using your car as I like, to use it myself and pay whatever a court might later request, if you sue me and win. Even when a patentee is not looking for a deal, the infringer can still offer him one too attractive to pass up. This presumably motivated the Court to affirm the century-old Continental Bag decision that a patentee need not practice the patented invention.

While an injunction could shut down a business, it also drives parties to strike deals, which is essential to innovation. While some bemoan the hefty $600-million Blackberry settlement, waiting to settle will prove costlier to the party that loses legal battles along the way. High-profile, high-priced eleventh-hour settlements reveal little about the often-overlooked and remarkably low royalty deals that could have been reached earlier. This presumably motivated Chief Justice John Roberts and Justices Antonin Scalia and Ruth Bader Ginsburg to note in their concurrence a discomfort in letting an infringer unilaterally decide to use another’s property simply by paying the owner a court-determined fee. The first two factors from the general test for injunctions—irreparable harm and inadequacy of money damages—are both met when a patent has been adjudicated to be both valid and infringed.

Concerns over the “true” importance of an invention to an infringer presumably motivated Justices Anthony Kennedy, John Paul Stevens, David Souter, and Stephen Breyer to question a patentee’s “undue leverage.”

But denying an injunction would give infringers an option to take a court-determined price or later strike a deal with the patentee for less. Leaving the question of an injunction open to an all-things-considered analysis in each case would give even brazen infringers strong incentives to litigate in perpetuity. Both approaches would tip the balance of hardships against patentees, without offering benefits to innovation, which is the third factor from the general test for injunctions.

It is also perverse to let avoiding the injunction hinge on failure to strike a deal. A legal test that rewards a failure to cooperate would lead to a decrease in cooperation, not an increase—all at expense of the public interest, the fourth factor from the general test for injunctions.

Believing a court could step in to set the “right” price in lieu of an injunction is unrealistic. Doing so requires immense information that only the disputing parties have and that a court must obtain at great cost. Courts also err by setting prices either too low or too high, with seriously distorting effects in either case—if too low, a rush to infringe ensues; if too high, the tremendous additional costs gain nothing over the injunction.

While many patents are held invalid—on business methods or other methods and products—present practice only allows permanent injunctions after the court has determined the patent is both valid and infringed. It would be ironic and harmful to let fears about the weakest patents prevent injunctions for those shown to be the strongest. If we think the possibility of invalid patents is so great that all seem tarnished, the culprit is the institutional problem of policing bad patents. Why not weaken or abandon the judicial presumption of validity and publish patents soon after filing?

In the end, who won? To the extent we’ve entered a new uncertain domain ruled by an all-things-considered analysis in each case, the trial lawyers win. To the extent the present practice is an acceptable general tendency to issue injunctions—though short of a “general rule”—innovation wins.

Copyright (c) 2006 The Washington Times LLC. This reprint does not constitute or imply any endorsement or sponsorship of any product, service, company, or organization.

Professor F. Scott Kieff co-authored with University of Chicago Professor Richard A. Epstein, University of California at Berkeley Professor David J. Teece, and University of Pennsylvania Professor R. Polk Wagner an amicus brief filed on behalf of various law and economics professors in the recent eBay v. MercExchange case. A member of the faculty at Washington University School of Law, Kieff is also a research fellow at Stanford University’s Hoover Institution.
THE SCHOOL OF LAW’S EAST ASIAN LAW BOOK COLLECTION spans rare books from the early 19th century to CD-ROM collections of case materials compiled in the 21st century. (See article on page 18.)